### Filing at a Glance

Company: Allstate Indemnity Company

Product Name: Homeowners SERFF Tr Num: ALSX-125219964 State: Arkansas

TOI: 04.0 Homeowners SERFF Status: Closed State Tr Num: AR-PC-07-025239

Sub-TOI: 04.0003 Owner Occupied Co Tr Num: R17858 State Status:

Homeowners

Filing Type: Rate Co Status: Reviewer(s): Becky Harrington,

**Betty Montesi** 

Author: SPI AllState Disposition Date: 07-27-2007

Date Submitted: 06-28-2007 Disposition Status: Filed

Effective Date Requested (Renewal): 09-20-2007 Effective Date (Renewal): 10-18-

2007

#### **General Information**

Project Name: Rate Filing Status of Filing in Domicile: Not Filed

Project Number: R17858 Domicile Status Comments:

Reference Organization: Reference Number:
Reference Title: Advisory Org. Circular:

Filing Status Changed: 07-27-2007

State Status Changed: 06-28-2007 Deemer Date:

Corresponding Filing Tracking Number:

Filing Description:

Section I - Revision of the Distinct Charge for Net Cost of Reinsurance

Allstate has again purchased countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements to mitigate our exposure to catastrophic losses. One agreement has a one year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008, and the other agreement has a two year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2009. The one year term agreement has been 15% placed and the two year term agreement has been 80% placed, leaving Allstate the option of placing an additional 15% in year two. Together the catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements will apply to Allstate and Encompass brand personal auto and personal property policies nationwide (excluding Florida), providing coverage for the term 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008 of 95% of the first \$2 billion in excess of \$2 billion of retained losses from storms named or numbered by the National Weather Service, earthquakes, and fire following earthquakes, subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations set forth in these agreements. These agreements replace Allstate's countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreement that was effective from 6/1/2006 to 5/31/2007.

With this filing, information is provided to support the revision of the distinct charge to cover the fire following an earthquake portion of the net cost of reinsurance in Allstate Indemnity Company for the Owners program in the state of

Arkansas. The net cost of reinsurance is equal to the reinsurance premium paid, less expected reinsurance recoveries under the contract. Please refer to Allstate filing R17477 for additional information on the original net cost of reinsurance filing.

Allstate's decreased reinsurance cost will be reflected by revising the reinsurance rate adjustment factor in the rate calculation for the Owners program. The factor is currently 1.000 for Owners. The revised reinsurance rate adjustment factor will apply to the calculation of the reinsurance charge for all policies and will therefore have the same effect as a reinsurance base rate change. The proposed reinsurance rate adjustment factor is 0.957.

The target effective date for renewal business effective is September 20, 2007.

# **Company and Contact**

#### **Filing Contact Information**

Carrie Deppe, Assistant State Filings Manager cdepp@allstate.com
2775 Sanders Road (847) 402-2774 [Phone]
Northbrook, IL 60062 (847) 402-9757[FAX]

**Filing Company Information** 

Allstate Indemnity Company CoCode: 19240 State of Domicile: Illinois

2775 Sanders Road Group Code: 8 Company Type:

Suite A5

Northbrook, IL 60062 Group Name: Allstate State ID Number:

(847) 402-5000 ext. [Phone] FEIN Number: 36-6115679

-----

# **Filing Fees**

Fee Required? Yes
Fee Amount: \$100.00
Retaliatory? No

Fee Explanation: Rate filing

Per Company: No

COMPANY AMOUNT DATE PROCESSED TRANSACTION #

Allstate Indemnity Company \$100.00 06-28-2007 14371493

# **Correspondence Summary**

**Objection Letters and Response Letters** 

#### **Dispositions**

Status	Created By	Created On	Date Submitted		
Filed	Becky Harrington	07-27-2007	07-27-2007		

**Objection Letters Response Letters** Status **Created By Created On Date Submitted Responded By Date Submitted Created On** Becky Pending SPI AllState 07-11-2007 07-11-2007 07-25-2007 07-25-2007 Harrington Industry

Industry Harrington Response

Pending Becky 06-29-2007 06-29-2007 SPI AllState 07-11-2007 07-11-2007

Industry Harrington

Response

#### **Amendments**

Item	Schedule	Created By	Created On	Date Submitted
HPCS- Homeowners Premium Comparison Survey	Supporting Document	SPI AllState	07-02-2007	07-02-2007
AR - NAIC P&C TRANSMITT AL DOCUMENT	Supporting Document	SPI AllState	06-28-2007	06-28-2007

# **Disposition**

Disposition Date: 07-27-2007

Effective Date (New):

Effective Date (Renewal): 10-18-2007

Status: Filed Comment:

Company Name:	ne: Overall % Rate Written Prem		# of Policy	Premium:	Maximum %	Minimum %	Overall %	
	Impact:	Change for this	Holders		Change (where	Change (where	Indicated	
		Program:	Affected for		required):	required):	Change:	
			this					
			Program:					
Allstate Indemnity	17.800%	\$2,638,224	22,016	\$14,821,485	19.900%	0.000%	0.000%	
Company								

Item Type	Item Name	Item Status	<b>Public Access</b>
Supporting Document	Uniform Transmittal Document-Property 8	Yes	
	Casualty		
Supporting Document (revised)	HPCS-Homeowners Premium	Filed	Yes
	Comparison Survey		
Supporting Document	HPCS-Homeowners Premium		Yes
	Comparison Survey		
Supporting Document	NAIC Loss Cost Filing Document for	Filed	Yes
	OTHER than Workers' Comp		
Supporting Document	Support_R17858, AR - HOMEOWNERS	Filed	Yes
	ABSTRACT FORM H 1		
Supporting Document	AR - NAIC P&C TRANSMITTAL	Filed	Yes
	DOCUMENT		
Supporting Document	Responses_to_AR_ObjectionsR17858.do	PFiled	Yes
	c, StateFilingForms04(02).doc		
Supporting Document	Response to 07.11.07 Objection	Filed	Yes
Rate (revised)	CheckingListR17858A#1	Filed	Yes
Rate	CheckingListR17858		Yes
Rate (revised)	ManualR17858A#1	Filed	Yes
Rate	ManualR17858		Yes

## **Objection Letter**

Objection Letter Status Pending Industry Response

Objection Letter Date 07-11-2007 Submitted Date 07-11-2007

Dear Carrie Deppe,

This will acknowledge receipt of the response dated 7/11/07.

#### Objection 1

- Responses\_to\_AR\_ObjectionsR17858.doc, StateFilingForms04(02).doc (Supporting Document)

Comment: Our previous position remains unchanged. The provision results in excessive rates and will be disapproved if not reduced.

In accordance with Regulation 23, Section 7.A., this filing may not be implemented until 20 days after the requested amendment(s) and/or information is received.

Please feel free to contact me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

**Becky Harrington** 

### **Response Letter**

Response Letter Status Submitted to State

Response Letter Date 07-25-2007 Submitted Date 07-25-2007

Dear Becky Harrington,

Comments: Response to July 11, 2007 objection letter

#### Response 1

Comments: Attached is our response, revised manual page, and revised checking list.

Related Objection 1
Applies to:

Responses\_to\_AR\_ObjectionsR17858.doc, StateFilingForms04(02).doc (Supporting Document)

Comment: Our previous position remains unchanged. The provision results in excessive rates and will be disapproved if not reduced.

Supporting Document Schedule Item Changes Satisfied -Name: Response to 07.11.07 Objection

Comment:

No Form Schedule items changed.

Rate/Rule Schedule Item Changes

Exhibit Name Rule # or Page # Rate Action Previous State Filing #

CheckingListR17858A#1 R17858 Replacement

ManualR17858A#1 R17858 Replacement AR-PC-06-020663

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 847-402-2774.

Sincerely,

Carrie Deppe Sincerely, SPI AllState

## **Objection Letter**

Objection Letter Status Pending Industry Response

Objection Letter Date 06-29-2007 Submitted Date 06-29-2007

Dear Carrie Deppe,

This will acknowledge receipt of the captioned filing.

#### Objection 1

- Support\_R17858, AR - HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT FORM H 1 (Supporting Document) Comment: Have the LA and MS hurricane catastrophes been excluded for the cat provision?

#### Objection 2

- Support\_R17858, AR - HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT FORM H 1 (Supporting Document)

Comment: The After-Tax Operating Profit used in the determination of the average indicated reinsurance charge and permissible loss ratio has approximately doubled from that of the previous filing and appears excessive. Explain and provide the pre-tax provision amount.

#### Objection 3

- NAIC Loss Cost Filing Document for OTHER than Workers' Comp (Supporting Document)

Comment: The RF-1 policy count information shows only 2002. Please revise.

In accordance with Regulation 23, Section 7.A., this filing may not be implemented until 20 days after the requested amendment(s) and/or information is received.

Please feel free to contact me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

**Becky Harrington** 

# **Response Letter**

Response Letter Status Submitted to State

Response Letter Date 07-11-2007 Submitted Date 07-11-2007

Dear Becky Harrington,

Comments: Please see the attached response and revised filing form.

#### Response 1

Comments: Please see the attached documents.

Related Objection 1

Applies to:

Support\_R17858, AR - HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT FORM H 1 (Supporting Document)

Comment: Have the LA and MS hurricane catastrophes been excluded for the cat provision?

#### Related Objection 2

Applies to:

Support\_R17858, AR - HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT FORM H 1 (Supporting Document)

Comment: The After-Tax Operating Profit used in the determination of the average indicated reinsurance charge and permissible loss ratio has approximately doubled from that of the previous filing and appears excessive. Explain and provide the pre-tax provision amount.

#### Related Objection 3

Applies to:

- NAIC Loss Cost Filing Document for OTHER than Workers' Comp (Supporting Document)

Comment: The RF-1 policy count information shows only 2002. Please revise.

Supporting Document Schedule Item Changes

Satisfied -Name: Responses to AR ObjectionsR17858.doc, StateFilingForms04(02).doc

Comment: Response to Objection Letter Dated June 29, 2007

No Form Schedule items changed.

No Rate/Rule Schedule Item Changes

Sincerely, Carrie Deppe Sincerely, SPI AllState

#### **Amendment Letter**

Amendment Date:

Submitted Date: 07-02-2007

Comments:

An amended HCPS is attached. We are not writing EQ coverage and have corrected our answer to that question.

**Changed Items:** 

**Supporting Document Schedule Item Changes:** 

Satisfied -Name: HPCS-Homeowners Premium Comparison Survey

Comment:

HCPS for Allstate Indemnity Filing R17858 Revised.PDF

#### **Amendment Letter**

Amendment Date:

Submitted Date: 06-28-2007

Comments:

Please see the revised transmittal, which has a more complete filing description.

Thanks,

Carrie Deppe

**Changed Items:** 

**Supporting Document Schedule Item Changes:** 

User Added -Name: AR - NAIC P&C TRANSMITTAL DOCUMENT

Comment: Revised Transmittal

AR - NAIC P&C TRANSMITTAL DOCUMENT.PDF

## **Rate Information**

Rate data applies to filing.

File and Use

Rate Change Type: Increase

Overall Percentage of Last Rate Revision: 0.000%

Effective Date of Last Rate Revision: 09-04-2006

Filing Method of Last Filing: File and Use

## **Company Rate Information**

Company Name:	Overall % Rate	Written Premium	# of Policy	Premium:	Maximum %	Minimum %	Overall %	
	Impact:	Change for this	Holders		Change (where	Change (where	Indicated	
		Program:	Affected for		required):	required):	Change:	
			this					
			Program:					
Allstate Indemnity	17.800%	\$2,638,224	22,016	\$14,821,485	19.900%	0.000%	0.000%	
Company								

# Rate/Rule Schedule

Filed

**Previous State Filing Attachments Review Status: Exhibit Name:** Rule # or Page Rate Action #:

Number:

CheckingListR17858A R17858 Replacement R17858.PDF

Filed ManualR17858A#1 Replacement R17858.PDF R17858 AR-PC-06-020663

#### **CHECKING LIST FOR HOMEOWNERS**

Printing dates are shown on each page to facilitate identification of different editions, but have no direct connection with the effective date of the page.

## **RATE PAGE CALCULATION OPTIONS**

Enclosed: Page IHRC-2 dated 7-2-2007

Withdrawn: Page IHRC-2 dated 7-1-2007

Filing Number: R17858 A# 1 Allstate Indemnity Company 15. Apply the appropriate deductible factor, subject to any applicable maximum dollar credit.

Deductible	Deductible	Maximum
<u>Option</u>	<u>Relativity</u>	Deductible Credit *
\$ 50	1.44	-
100	1.25	_
250	Base	-
250/500 WIND/HAIL	.94	\$150
250/1000 WIND/HAIL	.92	210
500	.87	375
500/1000 WIND/HAIL	.84	720
750	.80	825
1000	.75	1200
1500	.70	1575
2000	.65	1800
3000	.60	2025
5000	.53	2325
	·	<del></del>

- relative to the \$250 deductible premium
- 16. \$250 Theft Deductible Multiply the \$50 or \$100 Deductible premium by .95
- 17. Add the Fixed Expense Policy Fee shown on the Supplementary Rate Page
- 18. For 3/4 Family Dwelling add amount shown on Supplementary Rate Pages
- 19. Add the appropriate Reinsurance Charge. Determine the charge as follows:
  - Determine the appropriate Base Reinsurance Charge from the Reinsurance Charge Pages.
  - b. Multiply the appropriate charge by a Reinsurance Rate Adjustment Factor of 0.900 (round to three decimals).
  - c. Multiply by the appropriate Coverage A Reinsurance Limit Factor as shown in the Reinsurance Charge Pages (penny round).
- 20 Add the additional premium applicable for increased limits or additional coverage and subtract any applicable credit for reduced coverage shown on the Supplementary Rate Pages. Where applicable, use the same deductible amount as Coverage A.

# **Supporting Document Schedules**

		Review Status:	
Satisfied -Name:	Uniform Transmittal Document- Property & Casualty	Filed	07-27-2007
Comments:			
Attachments:			
	NSMITTAL DOCUMENT.PDF		
AR - NAIC RATE RU	LE FILING SCHEDULE.PDF		
		Review Status:	
Satisfied -Name:	HPCS-Homeowners Premium	Filed	07-27-2007
	Comparison Survey		
Comments:			
Attachment:			
HCPS for Allstate Ind	lemnity Filing R17858 Revised.PDF		
		Review Status:	
Satisfied -Name:	NAIC Loss Cost Filing Document	Filed	07-27-2007
	for OTHER than Workers' Comp		
Comments:			
Attachment:			
StateFilingForms04 -	NAIC Loss Cost Data Entry.PDF		
		Review Status:	
Satisfied -Name:	Support_R17858, AR -	Filed	07-27-2007
	HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT		
	FORM H 1		
Comments:			
Attachments:			
Support_R17858.PD	F		
AR - HOMEOWNERS	S ABSTRACT FORM H 1.PDF		
		Review Status:	
Satisfied -Name:	AR - NAIC P&C TRANSMITTAL	Filed	07-27-2007
	DOCUMENT		
Comments:			
Revised Transmittal			
Attachment:			
AR - NAIC P&C TRA	NSMITTAL DOCUMENT.PDF		

**Review Status:** 

Satisfied -Name: Responses\_to\_AR\_ObjectionsR17 Filed 07-27-2007

858.doc,

StateFilingForms04(02).doc

Comments:

Response to Objection Letter Dated June 29, 2007

Attachments:

 $Responses\_to\_AR\_ObjectionsR17858\_doc.PDF$ 

StateFilingForms04(02)\_doc.PDF

**Review Status:** 

Satisfied -Name: Response to 07.11.07 Objection Filed 07-27-2007

Comments: Attachment:

Response to 07\_11\_07 Objection .PDF

# **Property & Casualty Transmittal Document**

1.	Reserved for Insurance I Use Only	Dept.										
		ĺ	c. Disposi									
						on of the filing:						
		e. Effective date of filing:  New Business										
				Renewa								
			f. State F									
		1	g. SERFF									
			h. Subject	t Codes								
3.	Group Nama								Group NAIC #			
ა.	Group Name Allstate								008			
4					Damiaila	NAIC #	FEINI #	1				
4.	Company Name(s)				Domicile	NAIC #	FEIN#		State #			
İ	Allstate Indemnity Company				IL	19240	36-611	5679				
5.	5. Company Tracking Number R17858											
Conta	ct Info of Filer(s) or Corpora	te Officer	(s) [includ	de toll-fre	ee number]							
6.	Name and address	Tit	tle	Telep	ohone #s	FAX	#		e-mail			
		Assista	nt State									
	Carrie M. Deppe		/lanager	800-3	366-2958	847-402	-9757	cder	epp@allstate.com			
	2775 Sanders Road, Suite	3-			22774							
	A5											
	Northbrook IL 60062											
				Cari M () m								
7.	Signature of authorized file	er		Carrie M. Appe								
8.	Please print name of auth	orized file	r	Carrie	M. Deppe							
Filina	Information (see General Ins	tructions f	or descript	tions of t	hese fields)							
9.	Type of Insurance (TOI)	ti dottorio i	or accomp	04.0 Homeowners								
10.	Sub-Type of Insurance (Su	b-TOI)		04.0003 Owner Occupied Homeowners								
11.	State Specific Product cod	e(s) (if										
	applicable) [See State Specific											
12.	Company Program Title (M	arketing Tit	le)	Homed					D /D . !			
13.	Filing Type			_	e/Loss Cost		lules	<u>.</u>	Rates/Rules			
				For					es/Rules/Forms			
				LJ VVIT	hdrawal		ther (giv	e descr	ιριιστ <i>ι)</i>			
14.	Effective Date(s) Requeste		New:	09/20/2007	7	Rene	wal.	09/20/2007				
15.	Reference Filing?		New:         09/20/2007         Renewal:         09/20/2007           ☐ Yes         ☒ No									
16.	Reference Organization (if	applicable	:)	Not applicable								
17.	Reference Organization # 8		,	Not applicable								
18.	Company's Date of Filing		i	INOT ad	plicable		June 28, 2007					
	Company S Date of Filling				•							

PC TD-1 pg 1 of 2 © 2007 National Association of Insurance Commissioners

#### **Property & Casualty Transmittal Document**

20. This filing transmittal is part of Company Tracking # R17858

21. Filing Description [This area can be used in lieu of a cover letter or filing memorandum and is free-form text]

Section I - Revision of the Distinct Charge for Net Cost of Reinsurance

Allstate has again purchased countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements to mitigate our exposure to catastrophic losses. One agreement has a one year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008, and the other agreement has a two year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2009. The one year term agreement has been 15% placed and the two year term agreement has been 80% placed, leaving Allstate the option of placing an additional 15% in year two. Together the catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements will apply to Allstate and Encompass brand personal auto and personal property policies nationwide (excluding Florida), providing coverage for the term 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008 of 95% of the first \$2 billion in excess of \$2 billion of retained losses from storms named or numbered by the National Weather Service, earthquakes, and fire following earthquakes, subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations set forth in these agreements. These agreements replace Allstate's countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreement that was effective from 6/1/2006 to 5/31/2007.

With this filing, information is provided to support the revision of the distinct charge to cover the fire following an earthquake portion of the net cost of reinsurance in Allstate Indemnity Company for the Owners program in the state of Arkansas. The net cost of reinsurance is equal to the reinsurance premium paid, less expected reinsurance recoveries under the contract. Please refer to Allstate filing R17477 for additional information on the original net cost of reinsurance filing.

Allstate's decreased reinsurance cost will be reflected by revising the reinsurance rate adjustment factor in the rate calculation for the Owners program. The factor is currently 1.000 for Owners. The revised reinsurance rate adjustment factor will apply to the calculation of the reinsurance charge for all policies and will therefore have the same effect as a reinsurance base rate change. The proposed reinsurance rate adjustment factor is 0.957.

The target effective date for renewal business effective is September 20, 2007.

**22. Filing Fees** (Filer must provide check # and fee amount if applicable.)

[If a state requires you to show how you calculated your filing fees, place that calculation below]

**Check #:** Not applicable. Fee will be paid via Electronic Funds Transfer.

**Amount:** \$100.00

Rate filing

Refer to each state's checklist for additional state specific requirements or instructions on calculating fees.

PC TD-1 pg 2 of 2 INS02026

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Refer to each state's checklist for additional state specific requirements (i.e. # of additional copies required, other state specific forms, etc.)

# PROPERTY & CASUALTY RATE/RULE FILING SCHEDULE

(This form must be provided ONLY when making a filing that includes rate-related items such as Rate; Rule; Rate & Rule; Reference; Loss Cost; Loss Cost & Rule or Rate, etc.)

(Do not refer to the body of the filing for the component/exhibit listing, unless allowed by state.) This filing transmittal is part of Company Tracking # R17858 This filing corresponds to form filing number 2. Not applicable (Company tracking number of form filing, if applicable) Rate Increase Rate Decrease Rate Neutral (0%) 3. Filing Method (Prior Approval, File & Use, Flex Band, etc.) File and Use Rate Change by Company (As Proposed) 4a. Written **Company Name** Overall % Overall # of Written Maximum **Minimum** Indicated % Rate Premium policyholders premium %Change %Change Change **Impact** Change affected for this (where (where for this (when for this program required) required) Applicable) program program 17.8 1482148 Allstate Indemnity 17.8 2638224 22016 19.9 Company 4b. Rate Change by Company (As Accepted) For State Use Only **Company Name** Overall % Overall Written # of Written Maximum Minimum Indicated % Rate Premium policyholders %Change premium %Change Change **Impact** Change affected for this (where (where (when for this for this program required) required) Applicable) program program 5. Overall Rate Information (Complete for Multiple Company Filings only) **COMPANY USE** STATE USE 5a. Overall percentage rate indication(when applicable) Overall percentage rate impact for this filing 5b. Effect of Rate Filing – Written premium change for this 5c. program Effect of Rate Filing - Number of policyholders affected 5d. Overall percentage of last rate revision 0.0 7. Effective Date of last rate revision 09/04/2006 Filing Method of Last filing 8. (Prior Approval, File & Use, Flex Band, etc.) File and Use Rule # or Page # Submitted **Previous state** Replacement 9. for Review or withdrawn? filing number. if required by state □New Replacement 01 Please refer to checking list AR-PC-06-020663 ☐ Withdrawn □New 02 Replacement Withdrawn New Replacement 03 Withdrawn

NAIC Number:	19240
Company Name:	Allstate Indemnity Company
Contact Person:	Carrie Deppe
Telephone No.:	847-402-2774
Email Address:	cdepp@allstate.com
Effective Date:	8/6/2007

Homeowners Premium Comparision Survey Form FORM HPCS - last modified August, 2005

Submit to: Arkansas Insurance Department 1200 West Third Street

Contact Person:	Carrie Deppe					USE THE APPROPRIATE FORM BELOW - IF NOT APPLICABLE, LEAVE							Little Rock, AR 72201-1904							
Telephone No.:	847-402-2774						USE THE	APPROPR	RIATE FOR		- IF NOT A	PPLICABL	E, LEAVE	The second secon						
Email Address:	cdepp@allstate	e.com							BLANK					Email as an attachment to insurance.pnc@arkansas.gov  You may also attach to a SERFF filing or submit on a cdr disk						
Effective Date:	8/6/2007					]														
Survey Form for HO	3 (Homeowners	s) - Use \$500	Flat Deduct	ible (Risk of	direct physic	cal loss for d	welling and	other structu	ıres; named	perils for per	rsonal prope	rty, RC on d	welling, ACV	on personal	property, lia	b and med p	ay for others	s incl)		
<b>Public Protection</b>	Dwelling	Wash			xter		head		rancis		sha		ion	Mi			stian	Pul		
Class	Value	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	
	\$80,000	\$377.74	\$439.10	\$382.55	\$443.91	\$536.54	\$623.15	\$666.46	\$800.00	\$666.46	\$800.00	\$507.67	\$590.67	\$475.19	\$550.97	\$471.58	\$565.41	\$559.40	\$649.62	
3	\$120,000	\$524.51	\$609.92	\$531.73	\$615.94	\$745.86	\$866.16	\$927.51	\$1,112.78	\$927.51	\$1,112.78	\$706.16	\$820.45	\$661.65	\$767.51	\$655.64	\$785.56	\$791.57	\$920.30	
	\$160,000	\$697.74	\$810.82	\$706.16	\$819.24	\$992.48	\$1,152.47	\$1,233.08	\$1,479.69	\$1,233.08	\$1,479.69	\$938.34	\$1,091.12	\$879.39	\$1,020.14	\$870.97	\$1,044.20	\$1,074.28	\$1,247.51	
	\$80,000	\$413.83	\$486.01	\$418.64	\$490.82	\$588.27	\$689.32	\$800.00	\$898.64	\$800.00	\$898.64	\$556.99	\$653.23	\$519.70	\$609.92	\$565.41	\$635.18	\$612.33	\$719.39	
6	\$120,000	\$575.03	\$674.88	\$582.25	\$682.10	\$818.04	\$959.99	\$1,112.78	\$1,251.12	\$1,112.78	\$1,251.12	\$773.53	\$908.27	\$723.00	\$849.32	\$785.56	\$883.00	\$866.16	\$1,018.94	
	\$160,000	\$763.91	\$897.44	\$773.53	\$907.06	\$1,088.72	\$1,276.38	\$1,479.69	\$1,662.55	\$1,479.69	\$1,662.55	\$1,028.57	\$1,207.81	\$962.40	\$1,128.41	\$1,044.20	\$1,175.33	\$1,175.33	\$1,381.04	
	\$80,000	\$1,258,34	\$1,472.47	\$1,272,77	\$1,490,52	\$1,788.86	\$2,106,45	\$2.379.53	\$2.953.37	\$2.379.53	\$2,953.37	\$1,692.62	\$1,982,54	\$1,583.15	\$1,855.03	\$1,648.11	\$2,000.59	\$1.864.65	\$2,209,91	
9	\$120,000	\$1,749,16	\$2.054.72	\$1,770.82	\$2.082.39	\$2,558,78	\$3.048.40	\$3,427,35	\$4,226,14	\$3,427,35	\$4,226,14	\$2,404,80	\$2.867.95	\$2,231,57	\$2.664.65	\$2,335.02	\$2.899.23	\$2.733.22	\$3,251,71	
	\$160.000	\$2,372,32	\$2,830,66	\$2,404.80	\$2,867.95	\$3.501.93	\$4,151.55	\$4.656.81	\$5,719.06	\$4,656.81	\$5,719.06	\$3,297,42	\$3,913,36	\$3.066.45	\$3,642.68	\$3,203,59	\$3,953.06	\$3.814.71	\$4,518.47	
\$100,000 \$2,372.32 \$2,830.66 \$3,203.59 \$3,953.06 \$3,814.71 \$4,518.47																				
survey Form for HO4 (Renters) - Use \$500 Flat Deductible (Named perils for personal property, actual cash value for loss, liability and medical payments for others included)																				
Public Protection Class	Property Value	Wash Brick	ington Frame	Brick	xter Frame	Craig Brick	head Frame	St. Fi	rancis Frame	Arka Brick	nsas Frame	Un Brick	ion Frame	Mi Brick	ller Frame	Seba Brick	stian Frame	Pul Brick	aski Frame	
Class																				
3	\$6,000	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	
3	\$15,000	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	
	\$25,000	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	
	\$6,000	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	
6	\$15,000	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	
	\$25,000	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	
	\$6,000	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	
9	\$15,000	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	
	\$25,000	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	
Survey Form for DP-					perils for dw xter										coverage)	0-1-4	estian	Pul		
Public Protection Class	Dwelling Value	Brick	ington Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	head Frame	Brick	rancis Frame	Brick	nsas Frame	Brick	ion Frame	Brick	Frame	Sepa Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	
Old33	\$80,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3																				
	\$120,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$160,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$160,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$80,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	\$120,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$160,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
SPECIFY THE PE	PCENTAGE	GIVEN FO	P CPENITS	OP DISC	OUNTS EO	P THE FOL	LOWING:		EARTHOI	JAKE INSL	IDANCE									
HO3 and HO4 on		OIVEN I O	N OKLDII	- ON- DIOC		A THE TO						e does NOT	utomatically	cover losse	s from earth	guakes. Asl	k vour agent	about this c	overage	
Fire Extinquisher							%							Ily cover losses from earthquakes. Ask your agent about this coverage  EIN ARKANSAS?  No (yes or no)						
Burglar Alarm		0	%	Window Loca	ks	0						<b>%</b>								
Smoke Alarm		0	%	\$1,000 Dedu		0	%													
				Other (special	fy)	1								Zone		Brick		Frame		
				Maximum Cr	redit Allowed	0	%		WHAT IS YO	OUR PRICE F	PER \$1,000 C	F COVERAG	E?	Highest Risk Lowest Risk	\$	0.38 0.35		0.32		
Maximum Credit Allowed 9 %									Lowest Risk	\$	0.35	- 5	0.27							

### NAIC LOSS COST DATA ENTRY DOCUMENT

1.	This filing transmittal is part of Company Tracking #								R17858						
2.						n loss cost filing tem Filing Num									
				Compa	any Name					Compa	any l	NAIC Number			
3.	A.		All	state Inde	mnity Comp	any		B.		•		19240			
					<u> </u>	•									
		Product Codin	ng Mati	rix Line of E	Business (i.e.	, Type of Insura	ince)	Product Coc	ling N	Matrix Line of Insu	uran	ce (i.e., Sub-type of	Insurar	ice)	
4.	A.			Ov	vners			B.							
5.															
<u>J.</u>		(A) FOR LOSS COSTS ONLY													
	(B) (C) (D)							(E)		(F)		(G)		(H)	
		VERAGE		licated	Requested Rate Level	Evacete		Loss Cos		Selected		Expense Constant		o. Current	
(	(See Instructions) Rate Level Change				Change	Expecte Loss Ra		Modification Factor	on	Loss Cost Multiplier		(If Applicable)		oss Cost Multiplier	
Hor	neow	ners		2.4%	17.8%						(II / Apriloado)				
	TAL ( ECT	OVERALL													
6.		5 Year H	listory	Rate	e Change Hist		т.				7	•		T	
	Year	Policy C	Count	% of Change	Effective Date	State Earned Premium (000)	Incurre Losse: (000)	s State Lo		Countrywide Loss Ratio		Expense Consta	nts	Selected Provisions	
	2002	!		11.4%	5/27/02	\ /	,					. Other Acquisition		4.4%	
	2002	!		14.7%	12/02/02							. General Expense		3.9%	
												. Taxes, License & Fe	es	3.1%	
									+		ا ا	. Underwriting Profit		13.2%	
											E. Commissions 13.5%			13.5%	
											F	. TOTAL		38.1%	
3. 9. 10. PC F	RIC	Estimated I	Maximu	um Rate In		(Y or N) y Insured (%). T ny Insured (%)							ossCostD	raft/DataEntry.doo	

# Section I

# Revision of the Distinct Charge For Net Cost of Reinsurance

### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

### DEVELOPMENT OF THE AVERAGE INDICATED REINSURANCE CHARGE

With this filing, Allstate is revising the distinct charge to cover the net cost of reinsurance for fire following an earthquake in Arkansas. The net cost of reinsurance is equal to the reinsurance premium paid, which includes premium for a countrywide reinsurance contract, less expected reinsurance recoveries under this contract, and represents the incremental cost to Allstate of the contract. This is the net cost for Allstate Insurance Group as allocation to the company level was not available. Net cost figures were only available at the state and line level.

The reinsurance premium, net of expected Benfield Inc.'s premium adjustment provision, has been distributed to the individual states and lines in proportion to their expected loss recovery. Allstate has relied on expected loss recoveries provided by Benfield Inc. These expected losses have been loaded, by Benfield Inc., with Allstate's loss adjustment expense. The inclusion of this adjustment increases the expected recoveries under the contract, resulting in a lower net cost of reinsurance. Note: The adjustment was applied to gross modeled losses, then the terms of the contract were applied to determine expected loss recoveries.

Additionally, the countrywide annual aggregate reinsurance contract premiums displayed in this filing represent the current cost of this contract. However, the final costs may increase, remain unchanged or decrease, based on Allstate's exposures as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2007.

This packet further displays, in greater detail, the determination of the indicated reinsurance charge per Amount of Insurance Year (AIY)\* for the Owners line.

- \*1 AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year
  - = \$1000 of Coverage in Force for One Year

### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

# DETERMINATION OF THE AVERAGE INDICATED REINSURANCE CHARGE EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

Page 4 shows the development of the indicated reinsurance charge. An explanation, with references to the supporting exhibit, is provided below.

1. Reinsurance Premium:

Reinsurance premium paid, net of expected Benfield Inc. premium adjustment provision.

2. <u>Loss Savings Due to Reinsurance</u>:

Provided loss recoveries under the reinsurance contracts.

3. Net Cost of Reinsurance: (1) - (2)

Provided loss recoveries are subtracted from the reinsurance premium to determine the net cost of reinsurance.

4. 2007 Reinsurance Expense Provision per 2006 AIY Distributions:

Allstate calculated a reinsurance expense provision per AIY in 2006 of 0.041 by dividing the 2006 net cost of reinsurance by the expected AIYs associated with the reinsurance contracts effective 6/1/2006 to 5/31/2007. To reflect this reinsurance expense provision in terms of the 2007 net cost of reinsurance, the 0.041 is multiplied by the change in the net cost of reinsurance between years 2006 and 2007. [ 0.041 \* (\$312,177 / \$289,950) ]

5. Commissions, Taxes, and Profit Ratio:

Expense ratio for commissions, taxes, and profit. Page 5 details the expense ratios.

6. Reinsurance Charge per 2006 AIY Distributions:

This line shows the charge per AIY, by loading the reinsurance expense provision per AIY, (4) / [1 - line(5)], with commissions, taxes, and profit.

7. Allstate's Expected Recovery of the Net Cost of Reinsurance:

This is the amount of the net cost of reinsurance Allstate would recover assuming the 2006 base rates applied to expected AIY distributions associated with the reinsurance contracts effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008.

8. Reinsurance Rate Adjustment Factor:

To attain the Reinsurance Charge per AIY shown in Line (6), Allstate will revise the reinsurance rate adjustment factor. The revised reinsurance rate adjustment factor will apply to the calculation of the reinsurance charge for all policies and will therefore have the same effect as a reinsurance base rate change. However, Line (6) is the reinsurance charge needed assuming an AIY distribution associated with the reinsurance contracts effective 6/1/2006 to 5/31/2007.

To accurately reflect distributional AIY shifts that have occurred, the reinsurance rate adjustment factor was calculated by applying the commissions, taxes, and profit to the net cost of reinsurance and comparing it to the expected recovery of the net cost of reinsurance found in Line (7).

- \*1 AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year
- = \$1,000 of Coverage in Force for One Year.

# ALLSTÅTE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### DETERMINATION OF THE AVERAGE INDICATED REINSURANCE CHARGE

1. Reinsurance Premium	<u><b>2007</b></u> \$344,048
2. Loss Savings Due to Reinsurance	\$31,931
3. Net Cost of Reinsurance	\$312,117
4. 2007 Reinsurance Expense Provision per 2006 AIY Distributions	0.044
5. Commissions, Taxes, and Profit Ratio	28.8%
6. Reinsurance Charge Per 2006 AIY Distribution (4) / [1 - (5)]	0.062
7. Allstate's Expected Recovery of the Net Cost of Reinsurance	\$458,262
8. Reinsurance Rate Adjustment Factor [(3)/(1-(5))]/(7)	0.957

<sup>\*1</sup> AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year

<sup>= \$1000</sup> of Coverage in Force for One Year

# ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

# COMMISSIONS, TAXES, AND PROFIT RATIO USED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE AVERAGE INDICATED REINSURANCE CHARGE

	Provision in Rate
Commission and Brokerage	13.5%
Taxes, Licenses and Fees *	3.1%
Underwriting Profit**	12.2%
Commissions, Taxes, and Profit Ratio	28.8%

<sup>\*</sup> State Taxes - Does not include Federal Income Tax

<sup>\*\*</sup> Reflects a Total After-Tax Operating Profit of 10.20%

# Section II

Arkansas Indications Filing

# INDEX OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment I –	Summary of Proposed Change
Page 1	Summary of Proposed Change
Page 2	Summary of Rate Adjustment Factor Change
Page 3	Summary of Change to Maximum Deductible Credit
Attachment II –	Summary of Arkansas Rate Level Indication
Page 1	Explanatory Memorandum
Pages 2-4	Determination of Statewide Rate Level Indication
Pages 5-9	Development of Non-Catastrophe Loss and LAE Ratio
Page 10	Development of Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expense Provision
Pages 11-12	Premium and Loss Trends
Pages 13-15	Development of Ultimate Loss and LAE
Page 16-17	Catastrophe Adjustment Explanatory Memorandum
Pages 18-24	Development of Total Catastrophe Provision
Pages 25-26	Development of Expected Catastrophe Incurred Loss Ratio
Page 27	Amount of Insurance Year Trend
Pages 28-29	Expenses and Investment Income Explanatory Memorandum
Page 30	Development of the Permissible Loss Ratio
Page 31	Development of Other Acquisition and General Expenses
Page 32	Reconciliation of After-Tax Operating Profit
Page 33	Investment Income
Page 34	Development of Annual Fixed Expense Trend
Attachment III –	Summary of Manual Changes
Page 1	Summary of Manual Changes
Attachment IV-	Determination of Underwriting Profit Provision
Pages 1 – 25	Determination of Underwriting Profit Provision
Appendix 1	The Fair and Reasonable Return
Appendix 2	Development of the Underwriting Profit Provision From a Given Weighted Average Cost of Capital

# Attachment I

Summary of Proposed Change

#### SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGE

	2006	
	Written	
	Premium	Selected
	@ CRL*	Change
Owners Package	\$14,644,980	18.0%
Fixed Expense Premium**	1,677,960	N/C
Variable Package Premium	12,967,020	20.3%
Additional Coverages***	176,505	N/C
Total Owners	\$14,821,485	17.8%

<sup>\*</sup>CRL - Current Rate Level

<sup>\*\*</sup>We implicitly assume no indicated change for fixed expense premium.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>We implicitly assume no indicated change for additional coverages.

#### SUMMARY OF RATE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR CHANGE

Allstate will be revising the rate adjustment factor to achieve the proposed rate change. The rate adjustment factor will not vary by territory or any other rating plan, and will therefore have the same effect as a base rate change. The current rate adjustment factor is 1.163, and the proposed Rate Adjustment Factor is 1.399. This change affects the variable premium only. No change to the additional coverages and fixed expense premium brings the overall change to 17.8%.

## SUMMARY OF CHANGE TO MAXIMUM DEDUCTIBLE CREDITS

The maximum deductible credits are being revised to limit the customer impacts due to this rate change. The changes to the maximum deductible credits reduce the impacts of the rate increase. Additionally, customers that increase their deductibles will have the opportunity to further reduce the impacts of the rate increase in Arkansas Allstate Indemnity Company. The current and proposed maximum deductible credits are shown below.

Deductibles	Current Maximum Deductible Credit	Proposed Maximum Deductible Credit
	Deductible Cledit	Beductible Credit
\$50	-	-
\$100	-	<u>-</u>
\$250	-	<u> </u>
\$250/500		
(Wind/Hail)	\$100	\$150
\$250/1000		
(Wind/Hail)	\$140	\$210
\$500	\$250	\$375
\$500/1000		
(Wind/Hail)	\$480	\$720
\$750	\$550	\$825
\$1,000	\$800	\$1,200
\$1,500	\$1,050	\$1,575
\$2,000	\$1,200	\$1,800
\$3,000	\$1,350	\$2,025
\$5,000	\$1,550	\$2,325

# Attachment II

Summary of Arkansas Rate Level Indication

#### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS

#### SUMMARY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF STATEWIDE RATE LEVEL INDICATION

In developing rate level indications, Allstate projects estimated losses and premium for underlying trends that are expected to produce changes in the average loss cost and average premium amount between the experience period of the data and the period for which the proposed rates will be in effect. This is consistent with the Casualty Actuarial Society ratemaking literature.

The determination of the overall indication of 42.4% is included on Pages 2 through 4.

With this filing, we are modifying our methodology to develop the dollar provision for general and other acquisition expenses. Please see the Expenses and Investment Income Explanatory Memorandum on Pages 28 and 29 for more information on this modification.

Support for the development of the weighted non-catastrophe loss and loss adjustment expense ratio is included on **Pages 5 through 9.** All state transitioned from writing all of its Owners business in All state Insurance Company to writing all new Owners policies in All state Indemnity Company on May 29, 2001. Accordingly, All state Insurance Company data has been considered along with All state Indemnity Company data in the development of the non-catastrophe loss and loss adjustment expense ratio. Further explanation on how All state Insurance Company data was considered is contained later in this packet.

In addition, we are changing the underlying data used in developing the non-catastrophe losses from a calendar year basis to an accident year basis. This change in methodology more accurately matches the non-catastrophe losses to both premium and exposures used throughout the indication.

Support for the development of the expected catastrophe loss and loss adjustment expense ratio is displayed on Pages 16 through 27.

Consistent with past filings, we have also included the effect of investment income in the development of the profit provision for Arkansas. The supporting calculations for the investment income calculations are explained in greater detail on **Pages 32 and 33.** 

Support for the development of the underwriting profit provision can be found in **Attachment IV**.

#### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS

#### DETERMINATION OF STATEWIDE RATE LEVEL INDICATION EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

**Page 4** shows the development of the indicated rate level change. An explanation of the development, with reference to supporting exhibits, is provided below. The effective date of this change is 8/06/2007.

- 1. <u>Latest Twelve-Month Moving Average Earned Premium at Current Rates:</u> Average earned premium for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006.
- 2. Weighted Non-Catastrophe Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Ratio: Developed on Page 9.
- 3. <u>Indicated Provision for Non-Catastrophe Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense</u>: (1) x (2) Average premium at current rates is multiplied by the indicated provision for non-catastrophe losses and LAE.
- 4. Expected Catastrophe Loss Ratio including all LAE: Developed on Page 26.
- 5. <u>Indicated Provision for Catastrophe Loss including all LAE</u>: (1) x (4)
  Average premium at current rates is multiplied by the indicated provision for catastrophe losses and LAE.
- 6. <u>Current General and Other Acquisition Expense Ratio</u>:
   Provisions for general and other acquisition expenses found on Page 31.
- Average Earned Premium For the Development of the Indicated Dollar Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expenses:
   Average earned premium between January 1, 2003 and December 31, 2005.
- 8. <u>Current Dollar Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expenses</u>: (6) x (7) Average premium is multiplied by the current provision for general and other acquisition expenses.

- 9. Factor to Adjust for Subsequent Change in General and Other Acquisition Expenses:
  This factor represents the quotient of the fixed expense trend, projected from the average earned date of the period considered in the calculation of the average earned premium to the average earned date of the proposed policy period, and the premium projection factor, projected from the average earned date of the latest experience period to the average earned date of the proposed policy period.
- 10. <u>Indicated Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expenses</u>: (8) x (9)

  The current provision for fixed expenses multiplied by the fixed expense trend. This represents the portion of our indicated premium needed to pay fixed expenses.
- 11. <u>Commission, Taxes, Profit and Contingencies Ratio</u>: Expense ratios for commissions, taxes, and profit and contingencies can be found on **Page 30**.
- 12. <u>Indicated Average Premium</u>: [(3) + (5) + (10)] / [1.0 (11)]

  The ratio of the indicated provision to pay catastrophe and non-catastrophe losses, loss adjustment expense, and fixed expenses to the complement of the provision for variable expenses.
- 13. <u>Indicated Rate Level Change</u>: [(12) / (1) 1.0]

  The indicated average premium as a ratio of the average premium at current rate level determines the indicated rate level change.

# ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS DETERMINATION OF STATEWIDE RATE LEVEL INDICATION

(1) L	Latest 12 MM Average Earned Premium At Current Rates	\$709.57
(2) V	Weighted Non-Catastrophe Loss and LAE Ratio	67.9%
` ′	ndicated Provision for Non-Catastrophe Loss and LAE 1) x (2)	\$482.01
-	Expected Catastrophe Loss Ratio including all LAE	23.6%
` '	ndicated Provision for Catastrophe Loss neluding all LAE (1) x (4)	\$167.40
(6) C	Current General and Other Acquisition Expense Ratio	8.3%
` '	Average Earned Premium for the Development of the Indicated Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expense	\$685.51
` '	Current Dollar Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expense (6) x (7)	\$56.90
` '	Factor to Adjust for Subsequent Change in General and Other Acquisition Expense	1.049
• ,	ndicated Dollar Provision for General and Other Acquisition Expense (8) x (9)	\$59.67
(11) C	Commission, Taxes, Profit and Contingencies Ratio	29.8%
(12) Ir	ndicated Average Premium [(3) + (5) + (10)] / [1.0 - (11)]	\$1,010.10
(13) Ir	ndicated Rate Level Change [(12) / (1) - 1.0]	42.4%

#### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF THE WEIGHTED NON-CATASTROPHE LOSS AND LAE RATIO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The numerical references in this memorandum correspond to the numbering sequence found on **Page 9**. Prior to May 29, 2001, when Allstate began writing all new Owners business in Allstate Indemnity Company, all of the Allstate Owners business was written in Allstate Insurance Company. Accordingly, Allstate Insurance Company data has been considered along with Allstate Indemnity Company data in the development of the weighted non-catastrophe loss and loss adjustment expense ratio. More information on how Allstate Insurance Company data was considered is included below.

Please note that <u>all</u> references to current rate level refer to current Allstate Indemnity Company rate level.

- (1) Allstate Indemnity Company Earned Premium:
  Earned premium for the 12-month periods ending June 30, 2002 through June 30, 2006.
- (2) Allstate Indemnity Company Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Ultimate Losses:

  Non-catastrophe accident year losses including all loss adjustment expenses for the 12-month periods ending June 30, 2002 through June 30, 2006 developed to ultimate.

Due to the limited amount of Allstate Indemnity Company data, loss development factors were based on Allstate Insurance Group data. Loss development patterns for Allstate Indemnity Company and Allstate Insurance Company are expected to be similar, since claims settlement practices are the same for each company.

#### Loss Development

With this filing, Allstate will begin to use accident year losses. In prior filings, calendar year losses were used. With this filing and moving forward, Allstate will utilize historical development patterns when determining ultimate losses. Allstate will determine ultimate accident year losses (including allocated loss adjustment expense) after analyzing ultimate loss estimates arising from two methods: the Link Ratio method, and the Additive method.

While the link ratio method assumes that future development is proportional to losses that have already emerged as of a given evaluation date, the additive method assumes that future development is proportional to the number of earned exposures in the accident period, where the expected development per exposure is based on historical development patterns per exposure adjusted to account for differences in frequency and severity over time. Benefits of the Link Ratio Method include its objectivity and simplicity to calculate. The Additive Method avoids potential leveraging distortions, and has been shown to have lower variances and less bias than other methods as further discussed in

"A Simulation Test of Prediction Errors of Loss Reserve Estimation Techniques" (Proceedings of the Casualty Actuarial Society, Vol. LXXII, 1985) by James Stanard. Allstate believes the new approach of considering two loss development procedures when estimating ultimate losses better upholds the suggestion contained in the Statement of Principles Regarding Property and Casualty Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves that "Ordinarily the actuary will examine the indications of more than one method when estimating the loss and loss adjustment expense liability for a specific group of claims."

Due to the limited amount of Allstate Indemnity Company data, loss development factors and additive amounts were based on Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Indemnity Company combined data. Loss development patterns for Allstate Indemnity Company and Allstate Insurance Company are expected to be similar, since claims settlement practices are the same for each company.

To calculate estimated ultimate losses using the Link Ratio methods, historical age-to-age link ratios are calculated, which represent loss development between different evaluation periods. An average of the historical link ratios is then used to estimate the ultimate level of paid losses to be used in ratemaking. This method assumes that historical loss development patterns can be used to estimate future loss development on current immature claims.

For the additive method, the historical additive amounts per exposure calculated for all losses combined would be equivalent to the sum of the historical additive amounts per exposure calculated for liability and non-liability losses separately. Therefore, it is not necessary to develop liability and non-liability losses separately for the additive method.

For the Additive loss development method, historical losses are first trended to today's price level using pure premium trends selected from Allstate Insurance Group data. This is done to avoid distortions due to changes in the underlying loss costs. Trended additive amounts per exposures are calculated, which represent trended loss development between different evaluation periods. An average of the historical trended additive amount per exposure is then used to estimate the ultimate trended level of paid losses. Trended age-to-ultimate additive amounts per exposure are multiplied by earned exposures for each accident year to calculate trended losses that have yet to emerge. A final step in the Additive Method is to detrend the trended losses yet to emerge. Losses are detrended because the application of trend is accounted for in a separate step in the ratemaking process. This method assumes that historical loss development patterns per exposure can be used to estimate future loss development on current immature claims.

Refer to Pages 13 and 14 for the loss development using both the Link Ratio and Additive Methods of loss development. A summary of the estimated ultimate losses using each method as well as the selected ultimate losses is shown on Page 15.

#### Loss Adjustment Expenses

Losses in the experience period have been adjusted to account for unallocated loss adjustment expenses (ULAE). Under normal circumstances, a three-year average of the

ratios of countrywide calendar year ULAE to countrywide calendar year incurred losses is used to determine the ULAE provision. However, in 2003 Allstate modified that process by which ULAE is allocated to states and lines. Due to this change, in 2006 a two-year average (using 2004 and 2005 data) was used as a more appropriate method to calculate the ULAE provision. When expenses are updated again in 2007, three years of data will be analyzed. The support for the unallocated loss adjustment expense is detailed in **Page 10**.

- (3) Allstate Indemnity Company Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Loss Ratio: (2) / (1)
- (4) Factor to Adjust Premium to Current Rate Level:

The experience year earned premiums must be adjusted to represent the premiums that would be developed if all policies had been written at the current premium level. The adjustment is accomplished by applying the percentage effect of any rate level adjustments during or after the experience period, and is calculated using the method described by Frank J. Karlinski III in his discussion of the paper "A Refined Model for Premium Adjustment" (Proceedings of the Casualty Actuarial Society, Volume LXIV, 1977) by David Miller and George Davis. This method is used since the exposure growth in Allstate Indemnity Company violates the assumption of uniform exposure levels that underlies the parallelogram method.

The date and percentage effect of prior premium level adjustments are as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Change</u>
5/27/02	11.4%
12/02/02	14.7%

The current rate level factors used are as follows:

12 Months Ending	<u>Factor</u>
6/30/02	1.140
6/30/03	1.001
6/30/04	1.000
6/30/05	1.000
6/30/06	1.000

- (5) Allstate Indemnity Company Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Loss Ratio at Current Rate Level: (3) / (4)
- (6) <u>Modified Allstate Group Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Loss Ratio at Current Rate</u> Level:

The modified Allstate Group accident year non-catastrophe loss ratios at current rate level are determined by multiplying the Allstate Group loss ratios at current rate level by a modification factor. The modification factor, 1.102, is the ratio of the Allstate Indemnity Company loss ratio at current rate level to the Allstate Group loss ratio at current rate level for the most recent accident year. Allstate Group refers to the

combination of Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Indemnity Company. The modification factor is used to adjust the Allstate Group losses to the current Allstate Indemnity Company experience level. These adjusted Allstate Group losses are used to calculate the expected Allstate Indemnity Company loss ratio.

(7) Factor to Adjust Modified Loss Ratios to Projected Premium Level:

In addition to bringing premiums to current rate level, we must also account for changes in our premium level due to underlying factors such as amount of insurance. To account for premium trend, we review changes in the average written premium at current premium level on a twelve-month moving basis.

Modified loss ratios are developed using data that has already been trended for premium trend. Step (7) is the compounded projection factor. We have selected a factor of 3.5% to trend and project the premium component of the modified loss ratios to the level expected in the future rating period. **Page 11** displays the twenty, twelve and six-point written premium trends for Allstate Indemnity Company data in Arkansas.

(8) Factor to Adjust Modified Loss Ratios to Projected Cost Level:

In calculating our rate level indication, we use historical losses from the experience period to project the loss amounts expected to occur in a future policy period. We adjust these historical losses for changes we expect to occur between the average occurrence date in the experience period and the average occurrence date in the future policy period for which we are pricing. In previous filings, Allstate measured frequency as calendar year paid claims divided by calendar year exposures and calculated severity by dividing calendar year paid losses by calendar year paid claims, unadjusted for changing exposure levels. In order to more appropriately account for changes in exposure levels, Allstate is adjusting the calculations underlying frequencies and severities. Beginning with this filing, Allstate will employ a new methodology of calculating frequency and severity amounts that more consistently matches the losses and claims paid with the exposures that produced the claims. This methodology is described in "The Effect of Changing Exposure Levels on Calendar Year Loss Trends" (Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, Winter 2005) by Chris Styrsky.)

Modified loss ratios are developed using data that has already been trended to current cost level. Step (8) is the compounded projection factor. We have selected a factor of 10.0% to trend and project the loss component of the modified loss ratios to the level expected in the future rating period. **Page 12** displays the twenty-, twelve-, and six-point paid frequency and paid severity trends for Allstate Indemnity Company in Arkansas.

- (9) <u>Allstate Indemnity Company Non-Catastrophe Projected Loss Ratio</u>: [(6) x (8)] / (7) The modified non-catastrophe loss ratio is adjusted to the projected premium and cost levels.
- (10) Experience Year Weight:

  A weight is assigned to each year in order to appropriately consider responsiveness and stability.
- (11) Weighted Non-Catastrophe Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Ratio
  The experience-year weights are applied to the Non-Catastrophe Projected Loss Ratios.

# ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS

# ARKANSAS DEVELOPMENT OF THE WEIGHTED NON-CATASTROPHE LOSS AND LAE RATIO

# (000's omitted)

(5)	Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Loss Ratio @ CRL (3) / (4) 51.6% 48.9% 35.4% 30.2% 50.3%	(10) Experience Year Weight 10.0% 15.0% 25.0% 30.0%
(4)	Factor to Adjust Premium to Current Rate Level 1.140 1.001 1.000 1.000	(9)  Non-Catastrophe Projected Loss Ratio (6)x(8)/(7) 93.2% 77.4% 75.1% 48.6% 66.1%
(3)	Accident Year  Non-Catastrophe  Loss Ratio (2) / (1) 58.8% 48.9% 35.4% 30.2% 50.3%	(8) Factors to Adjust Modified Loss Ratios To Projected Cost Level @ 08/06/2008 1.438 1.438 1.438 1.438
(2)	Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Ultimate Losses** \$1,103 \$2,758 \$3,925 \$4,804 \$9,262	Factor to Adjust Modified Loss Ratios To Projected Premium Level @ 08/06/2008 1.094 1.094 1.094 1.094
(1)	Earned Premium 1,876 5,636 11,100 15,925 18,426	Modified Allstate Group Accident Year Non-Catastrophe Loss Ratio @ CRL 70.9% 57.1% 56.9% 56.9%
	FISCAL YEAR ENDING* 6/29/2002 6/30/2003 6/29/2005 6/30/2006	FISCAL YEAR ENDING* 6/29/2002 6/29/2004 6/29/2006 6/30/2006

%6'.19

(11) Weighted Non-Catastrophe Loss and LAE Ratio:

<sup>\*</sup> Evaluated at 09/30/2006

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes all loss adjustment expense

# Countrywide Expense Experience - Unallocated (Adjusting and Other Expense) Factors ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP\* **Personal Property Lines**

2004 & 2005

		2004	2005
÷	Direct Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense Incurred excluding Earthquake and Hurricane Losses	\$ 1,985,798	\$ 2,323,735
<b>6</b>	Direct Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expense Incurred excluding Earthquake and Hurricane	\$ 343,475	\$ 370,787
က်	Ratio (2)/(1)	0.173	0.160
4.	Two-Year Average		0.167
က်	Proposed Provision		0.167

<sup>\*</sup> Alistate Insurance Company, Alistate Indemnity Company, Alistate Property and Casualty Insurance Company and Allstate Country Mutual Insurance Company.

SOURCE: Data underlying Insurance Expense Exhibits (000 Omitted)

## ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS ARKANSAS CALCULATION OF AVERAGE CHANGE IN WRITTEN PREMIUM

12 MONTHS		20 POINT	12 POINT	6 POINT
ENDING	AWP @ CRL*	TREND	TREND	TREND
12/31/2001	603.57	666.42		
3/31/2002	647.09	669.53		
6/30/2002	705.64	672.66		
9/30/2002	717.96	675.79		
12/31/2002	711.46	678.95		
3/31/2003	700.14	682.11		
6/30/2003	692.07	685.30		
9/30/2003	690.62	688.49		
12/31/2003	684.19	691.70	683.38	
3/31/2004	688.58	694.93	687.45	
6/30/2004	694.21	698.17	691.54	
9/30/2004	696.20	701.43	695.65	
12/31/2004	701.48	704.70	699.79	
3/31/2005	702.28	707.99	703.95	
6/30/2005	702.21	711.29	708.14	701.78
9/30/2005	709.14	714.61	712.35	708.15
12/31/2005	715.89	717.95	716.59	714.58
3/31/2006	716.35	721.30	720.85	721.07
6/30/2006	726.87	724.66	725.14	727.61
9/30/2006	736.97	728.04	729.45	734.22
ANNUAL CHANGE AS A	A PERCENT			
OF LAST FITTED POINT	Γ	1.9%	2.4%	3.7%

<sup>\*</sup> AWP @ CRL - AVERAGE WRITTEN PREMIUM AT CURRENT RATE LEVEL

### Allstate Indemnity Company Owners Arkansas

#### Total All Perils Excluding Earthquake - Excluding Catastrophes Paid Pure Premium Trend

		Exponentia	st Fit	
2 Months Ending	Pure Premium	20 pt.	12 pt.	6 pt.
12/31/2001	475.55	330.24		
03/31/2002	389.49	318.75		
06/30/2002	541.26	307.66		
09/30/2002	352.07	296.96		
12/31/2002	281.11	286.63		
03/31/2003	287.58	276.66		
06/30/2003	292.95	267.04		
09/30/2003	198.45	257.75		
12/31/2003	191.23	248.78	127.68	
03/31/2004	97.86	240.13	136.72	
06/30/2004	116.67	231.77	146.41	
09/30/2004	180.92	223.71	156.78	
12/31/2004	169.00	215.93	167.89	
03/31/2005	184.12	208.42	179.78	
06/30/2005	189.06	201.17	192.52	182.61
09/30/2005	191.40	194.17	206.16	199.51
12/31/2005	219.01	187.42	220.76	217.97
03/31/2006	231.81	180.90	236.40	238.14
06/30/2006	268.60	174.60	253.15	260.18
09/30/2006	283.42	168.53	271.09	284.26
Annual change as a per	cent of last fitted point	-13.2%	31.5%	42.5%

<sup>\*</sup> Countrywide Excluding FL, NJ & TX

#### Alistate insurance Group Arkansas Owners Total All Peril

#### Loss Development - Link Ratio Method

	Field Incurred Losses*									
<b>AXYR</b>	<u>15</u>	<u>27</u>	39	<u>51</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>87</u>	99	<u>111</u>	123
1993					7,641,243	7,641,775	7,641,196	7,640,765	7,641,368	7,641,368
1994				8,737,835	8,734,844	8,734,423	8,734,657	8,732,186	8,734,618	8,734,318
1995			6,924,796	6,922,198	6,917,807	6,913,639	6,914,139	6,914,040	6,914,005	6,906,465
1996		8,109,860	8,145,590	8,176,153	8,186,353	8,187,063	8,173,155	8,190,155	8,190,155	8,193,968
1997	7,324,412	7,472,077	7,444,912	7,456,459	7,508,166	7,603,430	7,580,387	7,580,387	7,575,814	7,575,814
1998	6,164,930	6,589,469	6,623,543	6,611,512	6,614,802	6,557,912	6,552,732	6,552,732	6,552,732	
1999	8,523,132	8,582,134	9,309,961	9,330,444	9,309,397	9,314,000	9,305,532	9,305,532		
2000	7,836,941	8,116,300	8,120,872	8,217,517	8,184,335	8,191,475	8,191,475			
2001	8,149,826	8,404,237	8,444,572	8,418,573	8,450,549	8,451,005				
2002	8,915,254	9,165,795	9,280,755	9,200,752	9,215,508					
2003	8,457,977	8,683,593	8,786,342	8,814,550						
2004	10,316,885	10,627,837	10,685,393							
2005	8,310,025	8,472,597								
2006	13,711,378									
					1	ink Ratios				
<b>AXYR</b>	_	15-27	27-39	<u>39-51</u>	<u>51-63</u>	63-75	<u>75-87</u>	87-99	99-111	<u>111-123</u>
1993						1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1994					1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1995				1.000	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.999
1996			1.004	1.004	1.001	1.000	0.998	1.002	1.000	1.000
1997		1.020	0.996	1.002	1.007	1.013	0.997	1.000	0.999	1.000
1998		1.069	1.005	0.998	1.000	0.991	0.999	1.000	1.000	
1999		1.007	1.085	1.002	0.998	1.000	0.999	1.000		
2000		1.036	1.001	1.012	0.996	1.001	1.000			
2001		1.031	1.005	0.997	1.004	1.000				
2002		1.028	1.013	0.991	1.002					
2003		1.027	1.012	1.003						
2004		1.030	1.005							
2005 2006		1.020								
					Anata	.Age Link Paties	,			
	-	15-27	27-39	39-51	51-63	-Age Link Ratios 63-75	75-87	87-99	99-111	111-123
	3 Year Average:	1.025	1.010	0.997	1.000	1,000	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
	5 Year Average:	1.027	1.007	1.001	1.000	1.001	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Selected:	1.027	1.007	1.001	1.000	1.001	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
					Ana-ta-H	Itimate Link Rati	ios			
	-	15-Ult	27-Ult	39-UIt	51-UIt	63-Ult	75-Ult	87-UIt	99-UIL	111-Ult
	3 Year Average:	1.033	1.007	0.997	1.000	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
	5 Year Average:	1.035	1.008	1.001	1.000	1.000	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Selected:	1.035	1.008	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	Alistate Indemnity									
	•	Company	Factor to	Ultimate	ULAE	Ultimate				
	Year	Inc. Loss	<b>Ultimate</b>	Loss	Factor	Loss & LAE				
	2002	944,528	1.000	944,556	1.167	1,102,297				
	2003	2,362,903	1.000	2,362,787	1.167	2,757,373				
	2004	3,356,476	1.001	3,360,079	1.167	3,921,212				
	2005	4,058,629	1.008	4,091,551	1.167	4,774,840				
	2006	7,638,379	1.035	7,909,321	1.167	9,230,178				

<sup>\*</sup> Home Office Supplemental Reserves have been included at 123 months of development and after.

#### Alistate Insurance Group Arkansas Owners Total All Peril

#### Loss Development - Additive Method

				Flei	d Incurred Loss	ses*					
AXYR 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	7,324,412 6,164,930 8,523,132 7,836,941 8,149,826 8,915,254 8,457,977 10,316,885 8,310,025 13,711,378	8,109,860 7,472,077 6,589,469 8,582,134 8,116,300 8,404,237 9,165,795 8,683,593 10,627,837 8,472,597	39 6,924,796 8,145,590 7,444,912 6,623,543 9,309,961 8,120,872 8,444,572 9,280,755 8,786,342 10,685,393	51 8,737,835 6,922,198 8,176,153 7,456,459 6,611,512 9,330,444 8,217,517 8,418,573 9,200,752 8,814,550	63 7.641,243 8,734,844 6,917,807 8,186,353 7,508,166 6,614,802 9,309,397 8,184,335 8,450,549 9,215,508	7.641,775 8,734,423 6,913,639 8,187,063 7,603,430 6,557,912 9,314,000 8,191,475 8,451,005	87 7.641,196 8,734,657 6,914,139 8,173,156 7,580,387 6,552,732 9,305,532 8,191,475	99 7.640,765 8.732,185 6.914,040 8.190,155 7.580,387 6.552,732 9.305,532	111 7,641,368 8,734,618 6,914,005 8,190,155 7,575,814 6,552,732	7,641,368 8,734,318 6,906,465 8,193,968 7,575,814	43,661 40,699 39,126 38,543 38,155 38,165 37,948 38,034 37,574 34,420 31,515 42,321 46,238 49,237
Selected Trend:			10.0%	]							
					Field Incurred		A7	~~	414	422	Europeurop
AXYR. 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	17,270,579 13,215,075 16,609,174 13,883,619 13,125,377 13,052,824 11,257,568 12,483,431 9,141,027 13,711,378	27 21,034,889 17,618,766 14,125,113 16,724,150 14,378,521 13,535,108 13,419,641 11,557,862 12,859,683 9,319,857	39 19,757,251 21,127,564 17,554,713 14,198,154 18,142,479 14,366,621 13,600,067 13,587,953 11,694,621 12,929,326	51 27,423,069 19,749,837 21,206,836 17,581,940 14,172,364 18,182,395 14,557,832 13,558,196 13,470,821 11,732,166	63 26,379,642 27,413,681 19,737,311 21,233,291 17,703,863 14,179,416 18,141,380 14,499,048 13,609,694 13,492,426	75 26,381,479 27,412,360 19,725,418 21,235,133 17,928,490 14,057,467 18,150,352 14,511,698 13,610,428	87 26,379,480 27,413,095 19,726,844 21,199,060 17,874,156 14,046,362 18,133,848 14,511,698	99 26,377,993 27,405,341 19,726,564 21,243,153 17,874,156 14,046,362 18,133,848	111 26,380,076 27,412,973 19,726,464 21,243,153 17,863,372 14,046,362	123 26,380,076 27,412,032 19,704,951 21,253,042 17,863,372	43,661 40,699 39,126 38,543 38,185 38,185 37,948 38,034 37,574 34,420 31,515 42,321 46,238 49,237
	•				ditive Amount P		77.57	27.00	00 444	444.400	F
AXYR 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006		9.13 23.83 3.03 13.01 10.90 10.66 9.53 8.89 3.87	2.40 -1.68 1.91 37.38 0.21 1.73 4.89 4.34 1.65	-0.19 2.06 0.71 -0.68 1.05 4.50 -1.11 -3.40 1.19	61-63 -0.23 -0.32 -0.69 -3.20 -0.18 -1.08 -1.55 -1.37 -0.63	63-75 0.04 -0.03 -0.30 0.05 5.89 -3.19 0.24 0.33 0.02	75-87 -0.05 0.02 0.04 -0.94 -1.42 -0.29 -0.43 0.00	87-99 -0.03 -0.19 -0.01 1.14 0.00 0.00	99-111 0.05 0.19 0.00 0.00 -0.28 0.00	111-123 0.00 -0.02 -0.55 0.26 0.00	43,661 40,699 39,126 38,543 38,155 38,185 37,948 38,034 37,674 34,420 31,515 42,321 46,238 49,237
	-	15-27	27-39	Age-to- 39-51	Age Additive Ar 51-63	nounts Per Exp 63-75	75-87	87-99	99-111	111-123	
3 Year Weigh 5 Year Weigh		7.12 8.50 8.50	3.46 2.44 2.44	-1.17 0.50 0.50	0.13 -0.11 -0.11	0.20 0.66 0.66	-0.24 -0.62 -0.62	0.00 0.23 0.23	-0.09 -0.02 -0.02	-0.10 -0.06 -0.06	
		48.***			timate Additive				00.191	444 1111	
	ear Average: ear Average: Selected:	15-Ult 9:30 11:51 11:51	27-Uit 2.18 3.02 3.02	<u>39-Ult</u> -1.28 0.58 0.58	<u>51-Ult</u> -0.11 0.08 <b>0.08</b>	63-Ult -0.24 0.19 <b>0.19</b>	<u>75-Ult</u> -0.44 -0.47 -0.47	87-Ult -0.19 0.15 0.15	<u>99-UIt</u> -0.19 -0.08 - <b>0.08</b>	111-Ult -0.10 -0.06 -0.06	
	Year 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	Alistate Indemnity Company Trended Inc. Loss 1,382,884 3,145,024 4.061,336 4,464,492 7,638,379	Alistate Indemnity Company Earned Exposures 2,155 7,642 14,761 21,189 25,901	Additive Amt. to Ultimate Per Exposure 0.19 0.08 0.58 3.02 11.51	Trended Losses Yet <u>To Emerge</u> 407 637 8,605 63,954 298,247	Ultimate Trended <u>Loss</u> 1,383,291 3,145,661 4,069,941 4,528,446 7,936,626	Ultimate <u>Loss</u> 944,806 2,363,382 3,363,587 4,116,769 7,936,626	ULAE Factor 1.167 1.167 1.167 1.167	Ultimate Loss & LAE 1,102,589 2,758,066 3,925,306 4,804,270 9,262,042		

<sup>\*</sup> Home Office Supplemental Reserves have been included at 123 months of development and after.

# ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS SUMMARY OF LOSS DEVELOPMENT

Allstate Indemnity Company	Link Ratio Method	Additive Method
Year	Ultimate Loss & LAE	Ultimate Loss & LAE
2002	1,102,297	1,102,589
2003	2,757,373	2,758,066
2004	3,921,212	3,925,306
2005	4,774,840	4,804,270
2006	9,230,178	9,262,042

Allstate Indemnity Selected (in 000's)
1,103
2,758
3,925
4,804
9,262

# ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP (INCLUDES ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY, AND ALLSTATE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY) HOMEOWNERS ARKANSAS

#### SUMMARY OF THE TOTAL CATASTROPHE ADJUSTMENT

Allstate separately identifies and accounts for its exposure to loss due to the occurrence of catastrophic events within a state. In order to estimate our non-hurricane, non-earthquake catastrophe exposure, we develop a long-term relativity of each state to our countrywide (excluding California, Florida, New Jersey, and Washington) catastrophe factor based on all years 1981 and beyond. We then apply this relativity to a countrywide catastrophe factor based on the most recent ten years of data. By using this approach, we are able to balance the stability of a long-term estimate of catastrophe potential in Arkansas (needed because of the infrequent occurrence of catastrophes) and the responsiveness of more recent data (needed because of changing demographic conditions).

Within our method we incorporated two procedures designed to stabilize the results of individual states. The first procedure caps losses for years that are uncharacteristic for that state. Relativities above three standard deviations plus the mean for the state are capped. Impacted years are limited to the highest relativity below the cap.

In addition to the capping procedure, we apply credibility to the resulting relativities in the state. The credibility is based on the standard (Buhlmann/Bayesian) credibility method as described in Loss Models, by Klugman, Panjer and Willmot, chapter 5, pages 436 to 441. The credibility reflects the confidence we have in the state's average relativity. In order to develop the credibility, we consider the number of years used to determine the relativity as well as the variance of all states' relativities to countrywide.\* The complement of credibility is applied to a relativity of 1.000.

A result of our capping and credibility process is that the average of all the statewide relativities may no longer equal a countrywide relativity of 1.000. In order to assure an adequate provision for catastrophes on a countrywide basis, the resulting state relativities are adjusted to achieve an overall countrywide relativity of 1.000. The off-balance adjustment is made in proportion to each state's variability as defined by its standard deviation. The final relativity is applied to the countrywide catastrophe factor to develop the Arkansas catastrophe factor.

Pages 18 through 22 display the development of the total catastrophe provision of 1.172 for Arkansas. Enhancements to better account for the implementation of mandatory minimum wind/hail deductibles in applicable states have also been made. In addition, the total catastrophe provision has been adjusted to take into consideration differences in the average amount of insurance between Allstate Indemnity Company and Allstate Insurance Group.

Pages 23 and 24 display the development of the Allstate Indemnity Company Owners forms catastrophe provision.

This company specific provision is used in the development of an expected catastrophe incurred loss ratio, explained and displayed on Pages 25 and 26.

<sup>\*</sup> Note: The number of years is used rather than exposures (as recommended in the standard model) because increased exposures does not necessarily lead to more stable estimates for catastrophes, particularly when the exposures are geographically concentrated.

# ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP (INCLUDES ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY, AND ALLSTATE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY) HOMEOWNERS ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF TOTAL CATASTROPHE PROVISION EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The numerical references in this memorandum correspond to the numbering sequence on Page 20.

- (1) <u>Calendar Year</u>
- (2) Amount of Insurance Years:

One amount of insurance year (AIY) equals \$1000 worth of dwelling coverage in force for one year.

(3) <u>Catastrophe Incurred Loss:</u>

Catastrophe incurred losses excluding earthquake losses. Please note that because Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company was recently opened for new business in Arkansas, starting with calendar year 2005, any Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company catastrophe incurred losses will be included in the development of the Arkansas catastrophe ratio.

- (4) State Catastrophe Ratio: (3)/(2)
- (5) <u>Countrywide Catastrophe Ratio:</u>

Countrywide (excluding California, Florida, New Jersey, and Washington) catastrophe ratio excluding earthquake and modeled hurricane losses. Please note that beginning with calendar year 2005, Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company losses and amount of insurance years will be included where applicable.

- (6) Relativities: (4)/(5)
- (7) Relativities Adjusted for the Cap:

Column (6) adjusted for the cap. Impacted years are limited to highest relativity below the cap. Relativities were not impacted by the capping process.

- (8) <u>Average Relativities:</u>
  Arithmetic mean of relativities.
- (9) Standard Deviation
- (10) <u>Credibility of Capped Relativities:</u>
  Buhlmann/Bayesean credibility factor: # of years / (# of years + average process variance/variance of hypothetical means). The process variance and variance of hypothetical means are calculated using the 25-year capped relativities across all states.
- (11) Credibility Weighted Relativity: Row (8) (capped)  $x (10) + [1.0 (10)] \times 1.000$ .
- (12) Relativity Balanced to Countrywide:

  Row (11) balanced to achieve a countrywide relativity of 1.000 in proportion to the Arkansas standard deviation (based on capped relativities).
- (13) Selected Countrywide Catastrophe Factor
- (14) Arkansas Catastrophe Factor Excluding All LAE: (12) x (13)
- (15) Arkansas Catastrophe Provision Including All LAE:
  The Catastrophe Provision from (14) loaded with the ULAE factor found on Page 10.

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP HOMEOWNERS INSURANCE ARKANSAS BASIC CATASTROPHE PROVISION

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) RELATIVITIES			
CALENDAR <u>YEAR</u>	AMOUNT OF INSURANCE YEARS	CATASTROPHE INCURRED LOSS	STATE CATASTROPHE <u>RATIO</u>	COUNTRYWIDE* CATASTROPHE <u>RATIO</u>	RELATIVITIES	ADJUSTED FOR CAP OF 7.201 **			
1981	2,644,282	1,003,000	0.379	0.246	1.541	1,541			
1982	2,308,405	2,313,000	1.002	0.335	2.991	2.991			
1983	1,892,706	1,268,000	0.670	0.380	1.763	1.763			
1984	1,886,371	3,387,000	1.796	0.496	3.621	3.621			
1985	2,022,557	822,000	0.406	0.353	1.150	1.150			
1986	2,386,042	1,999,000	0.838	0.225	3.724	3.724			
1987	2,706,082	922,000	0.341	0.213	1.601	1.601			
1988	2,819,207	2,406,000	0.853	0.259	3.293	3.293			
1989	2,996,467	5,639,000	1.882	0.577	3.262	3.262			
1990	3,153,771	902,000	0.286	0.581	0.492	0.492			
1991	3,171,794	1,314,000	0.414	0.399	1.038	1.038			
1992	2,996,917	554,000	0.185	0.780	0.237	0.237			
1993	2,859,375	95,000	0.033	0.423	0.078	0.078			
1994	2,891,545	2,208,000	0.764	0.850	0.899	0,899			
1995	2,948,886	1,651,000	0.560	0.675	0.830	0.830			
1996	3,025,076	17,106,000	5.655	0.864	6.545	6.545			
1997	3,144,832	2,733,000	0.869	0.269	3.230	3.230			
1998	3,302,976	244,000	0.074	0.492	0.150	0.150			
1999	3,366,313	10,286,000	3.056	0.521	5.866	5.866			
2000	3,486,794	6,984,000	2.003	0.768	2.608	2.608			
2001	3,593,939	1,054,000	0.293	0.655	0.447	0.447			
2002	3,949,900	822,000	0.208	0.448	0.464	0.464			
2003	4,485,912	1,801,000	0.401	0.628	0.639	0.639			
2004	5,277,783	1,135,000	0.215	0.310	0.694	0.694			
2005 ***	6,206,944	868,000	0.140	0.246	0.569	0.569			
(8) Average Relativ	ity				1.909	1.909			
(9) Standard Deviati	on				1.764	1.764			
(10) Credibility						0.868			
(11) Credibility We	ighted Relativity			,		1.789			
(12) Relativity Balar	nced to Countrywide					1.897			
(13) Countrywide Selected Catastrophe Factor									
(14) Arkansas Catas	trophe Factor					0.986			
(15) Arkansas Catas	trophe Factor Including a	(15) Arkansas Catastrophe Factor Including all LAE							

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes California, Florida, New Jersey, and Washington
\*\* Relativities were not impacted by capping process
\*\*\* Starting in 2005, AP&C included

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF THE LINE SPECIFIC CATASTROPHE PROVISION EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The numerical references in this memorandum correspond to the numbering sequence on Page 22. The Arkansas catastrophe factor including all LAE on Page 20 incorporates all Homeowners lines of business (Owners, Renters, and Condominiums). Page 22 details the Owners line specific catastrophe factor.

- (1) Average State Catastrophe Ratio:

  Arithmetic mean of state catastrophe ratios using the most recent twelve years of data
- (2) <u>Line to Line 7X Ratio:</u>

  The Line specific average state catastrophe ratio developed from (1) divided by the Line 7X average state catastrophe ratio also developed from (1)
- (3) <u>2005 Amount of Insurance Years:</u>
  The amount of insurance years by line as of 12/31/2005
- (4) <u>2005 AIY Weighted Line to Line 7X Ratio:</u>
  Line to Line 7X ratio developed from (2) weighted by the Amount of Insurance Years as of 12/31/2005 to reflect the current distribution between lines
- (5) Ratio Balanced to Line 7X:
  Row (4) balanced to achieve a Line 7X relativity of 1.000
- (6) <u>Line Specific Catastrophe Factor:</u>
  State Homeowners Catastrophe Factor Including all LAE developed on **Page 20** multiplied by the line specific relativity developed in (5)

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP . CATASTROPHE COMPARISON BY LINE (FOR LINE 7X\*) ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF THE LINE SPECIFIC CATASTROPHE PROVISION

CONDOMINIUM (LINE 78)						
CALENDAR	AMOUNT OF INSURANCE	CATASTROPHE INCURRED	STATE CATASTROPHE			
YEAR	<u>YEARS</u>	LOSS	RATIO			
1994	14,507	673	0.046			
1995	14,250	1,591	0.112			
1996	13,957	8,518	0.610			
1997	14,057	0	0.000			
1998	13,653	1,435	0.105			
1999	13,888	600	0.043			
2000	14,412	1,500	0.104			
2001	15,503	5,584	0,360			
2002	15,920	0	0.000			
2003	16,757	0	0.000			
2004	18,491	0	0.000			
2005	21,423	2,696	0.126			

RENTERS (LINE 71)						
CALENDAR	AMOUNT OF INSURANCE	CATASTROPHE INCURRED	STATE CATASTROPHE			
YEAR .	<u>YEARS</u>	LOSS	<u>ratio</u>			
1994	63,989	3,468	0.054			
1995	61,888	255	0.004			
1996	64,401	7,266	0.113			
1997	70,457	7,870	0.112			
1998	80,618	2,193	0.027			
1999	89,088	37,481	0.421			
2000	92,644	10,485	0.113			
2001	92,068	27,020	0.293			
2002	91,871	-103	-0.001			
2003	89,879	11,292	0.126			
2004	91,411	-144	-0.002			
2005	95,186	0	0.000			

OWNERS (LINE 70)						
	AMOUNT OF	CATASTROPHE	STATE			
CALENDAR	INSURANCE	INCURRED	CATASTROPHE			
YEAR	YEARS	LOSS	RATIO			
1994	2,813,049	2,203,334	0.783			
1995	2,872,748	1,648,765	0.574			
1996	2,946,718	17,089,861	5.800			
1997	3,060,318	2,724,699	0.890			
1998	3,208,705	240,243	0.075			
1999	3,263,337	10,247,991	3.140			
2000	3,379,738	6,971,765	2.063			
2001	3,486,368	1,021,481	0.293			
2002	3,842,109	821,700	0.214			
2003	4,379,276	1,789,355	0.409			
2004	5,167,881	1,134,832	0.220			
2005	6,090,335	865,387	0.142			

HOMEOWNERS (LINE 7X)						
	AMOUNT OF	CATASTROPHE	STATE			
CALENDAR	INSURANCE	INCURRED	CATASTROPHE			
YEAR	YEARS	LOSS	<u>ratio</u>			
1994	2,891,545	2,207,476	0.763			
1995	2,948,886	1,650,611	0.560			
1996	3,025,076	17,105,645	5.655			
1997	3,144,832	2,732,569	0.869			
1998	3,302,976	243,871	0.074			
1999	3,366,313	10,286,072	3.056			
2000	3,486,794	6,983,750	2.003			
2001	3,593,939	1,054,085	0.293			
2002	3,949,900	821,597	0.208			
2003	4,485,912	1,800,647	0.401			
2004	5,277,783	1,134,687	0.215			
2005	6,206,944	868,083	0.140			

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	AVERAGE STATE CATASTROPHE RATIO	LINE TO LINE 7X RATIO	2005 AMOUNT OF INSURANCE <u>YEARS</u>	2005 AIY WEIGHTED LINE TO LINE 7X <u>RATIO</u>	RATIO BALANCED TO LINE 7X	LINE SPECIFIC CATASTROPHE FACTOR**
LINE 70	1,217	1.026	6,090,335	1.026	1.018	1.172
LINE 71	0.105	0.089	95,186	0.089	0.088	0.101
LINE 78	0.126	0.106	21,423	0.106	0.105	0.121
LINES 71&78	0.110	0.093	116,609	0.930	0.092	0.106
LINE 7X	1.186	1.000	6,206,944	1.088	1.000	1.151

<sup>\*</sup>Line 7X incorporates all Homeowners lines (Owners, Renters, and Condominiums)

\*\*Includes all Loss Adjustment Expenses

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF THE CATASTROPHE PROVISION BY COMPANY EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The numerical references in this memorandum correspond to the numbering sequence on Page 24.

- (1) <u>Earned Exposures</u> Earned Exposures for Owners forms.
- (2) Projected Average AIYs
  The Amount of Insurance Years adjusted to represent the AIY's that we expect to be in force during the policy period.
- (3) Expected Catastrophe Loss Relativity

  To more appropriately allocate the non-hurricane catastrophe provision between companies Allstate has researched an Amount of Insurance scale based upon wind and non-hurricane catastrophes. The relativity is based on the average Amount of Insurance by company.
- (4) Expected Catastrophe Loss Per Policy:
  The total expected catastrophe loss per policy is the total projected average AIY multiplied by the total Allstate Insurance Group Owners catastrophe provision developed on Page 22. The total is then allocated by company based on (3).
- (5) <u>Indicated Catastrophe Provision Owners Forms:</u> (4) / (2)

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### Development of Owners Catastrophe Provisions by Company

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<u>Company</u>	Earned Exposures	Projected Average <u>AIYs</u>	Expected Catastrophe Loss Relativity	Expected Catastrophe Loss Per <u>Policy</u>	Indicated Catastrophe <u>Provision*</u>
Allstate Insurance Company	22,920	126.20	0.897	156.09	1.237
Allstate Indemnity Company	25,968	162.29	1.052	182.98	1.128
Total	48,888	145.37	0.979	170.37	1.172

<sup>\*</sup> Includes all loss adjustment expenses.

#### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS

#### DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXPECTED CATASTROPHE LOSS RATIO EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

The numerical references in this memorandum correspond to the numbering sequence on Page 26.

- (1) Average AIY\* for 12-month period ending 06/30/2006
- (2) Factor to Adjust AIY @ 08/06/2008:

  The Amount of Insurance Years must be adjusted to represent the AIY's that we expect to be in force during the policy period. Selections were based on Allstate Indemnity Company data. Page 27 shows the twelve- and six-point average AIY trends for Arkansas. We have selected a 5.0% provision to project the AIY's to the average earned date of the proposed policy period.
- (3) Average AIY Trended to 08/06/2008: (1) x (2)
- (4) Total Dollar Catastrophe Provision Per AIY including all LAE: Developed on Pages 18 through 24.
- (5) Expected Catastrophe Losses: (3) x (4)
- (6) Latest 12 MM Average Earned Premium at Current Rates
- (7) Factor to Adjust Premium for Premium Trend @ 08/06/2008:

  The earned premium must be adjusted to represent the premium that we expect to be in force during the policy period.
- (8) Trended Average Earned Premium @ CRL: (6) x (7)
- (9) Expected Catastrophe Loss Ratio including all LAE: (5) / (8)
- \*1 AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year
  - = \$1,000 of Coverage in Force for One Year.

# ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS FORMS ARKANSAS DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXPECTED CATASTROPHE LOSS RATIO

(1) Average Earned AIY* for 12 month period ending 06/30/06	142.96
(2) Factor to Adjust AIY @ 08/06/08	1.135
(3) Average AIY Trended to 08/06/08 (1) x (2)	162.29
<ul><li>(4) Total Dollar Catastrophe Provision Per AIY including all LAE</li><li>(Refer to the Dev. of Total Catastrophe Provision Exhibit)</li></ul>	1.128
(5) Expected Catastrophe Losses (3) x (4)	\$183.07
(6) Latest 12 MM Average Earned Premium At Current Rates	\$709.57
(7) Factor to Adjust Premium for Premium Trend @ 08/06/08	1.094
(8) Trended Average Earned Premium @CRL (6) x (7)	\$775.96
(9) Expected Catastrophe Loss Ratio including all LAE (5) / (8)	23.6%
* 1 AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year	

= \$1000 of Coverage in Force for One Year

# ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS ARKANSAS CALCULATION OF AVERAGE CHANGE IN AMOUNT OF INSURANCE YEARS

12 MONTHS ENDING	AVERAGE AIY'S	12 POINT TREND	6 POINT TREND
12/31/2001	109.02		,
3/31/2002	110.57		
6/30/2002	113.10		
9/30/2002	116.25		
12/31/2002	117.88		
3/31/2003	118.91		
6/30/2003	120.19		
9/30/2003	122.42		
12/31/2003	123.99	125.55	
3/31/2004	126.27	127.58	
6/30/2004	129.58	129.64	
9/30/2004	132.42	131.73	
12/31/2004	135.19	133.86	
3/31/2005	137.06	136.02	
6/30/2005	139.36	138.21	139.94
9/30/2005	142.25	140.44	141.50
12/31/2005	143.33	142.71	143.07
3/31/2006	144.36	145.01	144.66
6/30/2006	146.01	147.35	146.27
9/30/2006	148.04	149.73	147.90
Average Change as a	Percent	6.6%	4.5%
of Last Fitted Point			

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP HOMEOWNERS ARKANSAS

#### EXPENSES AND INVESTMENT INCOME EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

Page 30 displays the development of the permissible loss ratio for Arkansas.

#### Commission and Brokerage Expense

The proposed commission and brokerage expense provision has been developed from the actual calendar year 2005 commission and brokerage incurred expense ratio in Arkansas. The provision is shown on **Page 30**.

#### General and Other Acquisition Expense

The provisions for other acquisition and general expense are based on countrywide data. Since the methods and procedures that incur these expenses are uniform within each state, it is a reasonable assumption that these expense provisions are uniform across all states. To develop the provision for other acquisition and general expenses, a three-year average of countrywide calendar year incurred expense divided by countrywide calendar year direct earned premium was calculated. These figures are taken from the Insurance Expense Exhibit. The provision for Other Acquisition Expense has been reduced by the amount of installment fees collected. The provision for General Expense has been adjusted to account for changes in pension benefit plan funding per the Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles (SSAP) No. 89. The General Expense provision has also been reduced to account for anticipated salary savings resulting from a workforce-reduction initiative that Allstate completed in early 2006.

#### Rate Need Calculations

For past filings, in developing the dollar provision for general and other acquisition expenses used in the calculation of our Arkansas rate level need, the three-year countrywide average expense ratio for general and other acquisition expenses was applied to the latest Arkansas twelve-month moving average earned premium. This dollar provision was then adjusted for trend to calculate the indicated dollar provision for fixed expenses. With this filing, we are modifying this methodology by altering the time period used for the development of the Arkansas average earned premium. The three-year countrywide average expense ratio will continue to be applied to the Arkansas average earned premium. However, rather than using a twelve-month moving average, the Arkansas average earned premium will now be developed using the same three-year period used in the calculation of the countrywide average expense ratio. An adjustment to the trend period used in the calculation of the trend factor will also be made to account for these changes. This new methodology more consistently aligns the time periods for the development of both the countrywide expense ratio and the Arkansas earned premium.

#### Trend

In past Allstate filings, the provision for fixed expense trend was supported by countrywide experience for private passenger auto and personal property lines combined. With this filing, we are implementing a new method for determining this provision that relies mostly on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The method used to calculate the fixed expense trend (inflation factor) is similar to the method used by the Insurance Services Office (I.S.O.) and other competitors to determine a fixed expense trend. The method utilizes the CPI (Consumer Price Index) and the CCI (Compensation Cost Index – Insurance Carriers, Agents, Brokers, & Service) and is discussed by Geoffrey Todd Werner, FCAS, MAAA in his paper Incorporation of Fixed Expenses, which was published in the CAS Forum (Winter 2004). Based on a review of the historical indices, an annual percentage change is selected for each index. These selected annual percent changes are then weighted together using the distribution of the Allstate expenditures in the latest calendar year for the two broad expense categories that these indices represent. This method is expected to produce stable and reasonable estimates of the true trend in fixed expenses. Page 34 displays the support for the fixed expense trend.

The expense provisions for other acquisition and general expenses are shown on Page 31.

#### Taxes. Licenses & Fees

The provision for taxes is determined by taking the currently prescribed Arkansas premium tax ratio and adding to that the arithmetic average ratio of other assessments (reflecting other taxes, licenses, and fees). These average ratios are based on five calendar years for Guaranty Funds and Mandatory Pools, and three years for Other Taxes.

#### Profit Provision

The methodology underlying the cost of equity capital has been updated to reflect developments in the field of financial economics as published in the *Casualty Actuarial Society Forum*, Winter, 2004 and in *Journal of Risk and Insurance, Vol. 72, No. 3, September 2005* ("Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital For Property-Liability Insurers" by J. David Cummins and Richard D. Phillips).

Page 32 titled "Reconciliation of After-tax Operating Profit" shows the connection between the after-tax operating and the pre-tax underwriting profit provision. Operating profit is equal to the sum of the after-tax underwriting profit provision and the investment income on policyholder-supplied funds (PHSF).

A discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology is used to calculate the investment income on PHSF. DCF is one of the two examples given in Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30 as appropriate methods for recognizing investment income from insurance operations (page 4).

The calculations detailing the discounted cash flow methodology are found on **Page 33**. The expected investment yield rate (applied as a force of interest) used to discount losses and expenses includes anticipated net investment income and anticipated capital gains, both realized and unrealized. Operating cash flows are discounted to the average time of earnings of premium and profit for the policy year, rather than to the start of the policy year.

Please refer to the attached documented, **Attachment IV**, titled "The Development of the Underwriting Profit Provision" for more information.

# ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP HOMEOWNERS ARKANSAS DEVELOPMENT OF CURRENT PERMISSIBLE LOSS AND LAE RATIO

	Provision in Premium
Commission and Brokerage	13.5%
Other Acquisition Expense	4.4%
General Expense	3.9%
Taxes, Licenses and Fees *	3.1%
Underwriting Profit** & Contingencies	13.2%
Subtotal	38.1%
Current Permissible Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense	61.9%
Total —	100.0%

Fixed Expense Projection:

3.4%

<sup>\*</sup> State Taxes - Does not include Federal Income Tax

<sup>\*\*</sup> Reflects a Total After-Tax Operating Profit of 10.20%

# ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP\*

Personal Property Lines Countrywide Expense Experience For Other Acquisition and General Expenses

	ot	Other Acquisition Expense	
	2003	2004	2005
Direct Premium Earned	\$4,510,339	\$5,011,186	\$5,546,004
Other Acquisition Expense incurred**	194,035	225,459	236,288
Ratio (2)/(1)	0.0430	0.0450	0.0426
Three Year Average			0.044
Proposed Provision			0.044
		General Expense	
	2003	2004	2005
Direct Premium Earned	\$4,510,339	\$5,011,186	\$5,546,004
General Expense Incurred	191,745	198,637	209,709
Ratio (2)/(1)	0.0425	0.0396	0.0378
Three Year Average			0.040
Adjusted Three Year Average***			0.039
Proposed Provision			0.039
			•

'n,

SOURCE: Insurance Expense Exhibits (000 Omitted)

ė,

гċ

<sup>\*</sup> Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Indemnity Company, Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company and Allstate County Mutual

<sup>\*\*</sup> Expenses are reduced by the amount of installment Fees collected

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Adjusted to account for future pension benefit plan funding and reduced workforce.

### Allstate Insurance Group Homeowners Arkansas

#### Reconciliation of After-Tax Operating Profit Provision

Discounted Pre-Tax Underwriting     Profit Provision	12.17%
2. Applicable Tax Ratio	35%
3. After-Tax Underwriting Profit Provision (1) x [ 1.0- (2) ]	7.91%
4. After-Tax Investment Gain From Policy Cash Flow	2.29%
5. Total After-Tax Operating Profit Provision (3) + (4)	10.20%

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY HOMEOWNERS

#### Arkansas - 2005

Calculation of Present Value, as of the Average Earning Date of a Policy year, of all Income and Outgo @ 4.52% force of interest, assuming an Operating Profit of 10.20% and twelve-month Policy Terms

	Arkansas	Arkansas	Time	Discounted *	
Years From	Cumulative	Yearly	from Start	to avg time	
Start of	Percent of	Percent of	of Policy	of profit @	Discounted
Policy Year	Losses Paid	Losses Paid	Year	4.52%	Payments
1	35.1%	35.1%	0.70	1.0137	35.58%
2	94.9%	59.8%	1.50	0.9777	58.47%
3	99.8%	4.9%	2.30	0.9429	4.62%
4	100.0%	0.2%	3.40	0.8972	0.18%
5	99.7%	-0.3%	4.40	0.8575	-0.26%
Subsequent	100.0%	0.3%	6.70	0.7729	0.23%
Total		100.0%			98.82%
Expected Losses a	and Loss Expense R	tatio			62.93%
Present Value of I	Loss and Loss Expe	nse Payments			62.19%
Taxes		3.10%	0.74	1.0118	3.14%
Commissions		13.50%	0.58	1.0192	13.76%
Other Acquisition		4.40%	0.63	1.0169	4.47%
General Expense		3.90%	0.75	1.0114	3.94%
Profit		12.17%	1.00	1.0000	12.17%
Total Present Valu	ae of Outgo				99.67%
Premiums		100.0%	0.57	1.0196	101.96%
Difference, Presen	nt Value of Income				
Less Present Valu	e of Outgo				2.29%

<sup>\*</sup>exp (0.0452 x (timing of profit being earned - timing of cash flow))

### ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP COUNTRYWIDE CALULATION OF ANNUAL FIXED EXPENSE TREND

(1)	% of Other Acquistion and General Expenses used for Salaries and Employee Relations & Welfare - Allstate Insurance Group, 2005	41.2%
(2)	Compensation Cost Index - Insurance Carriers, Agents, Brokers, and Services - (selected annual change ending 12/31/05) U.S. Department of Labor	4.0%
(3)	% of Other Acquisition and General Expenses not used for Salaries and Employee Relations & Welfare - Allstate Insurance Group, 2005.	58.8%
(4)	Consumer Price Index, All Items - (selected annual change ending 12/31/05)	3.0%
(5)	Annual Fixed Expense Trend - $[(1) \times (2)] + [(3) \times (4)]$	3.4%
(6)	Selected Annual Fixed Expense Trend	3.4%

#### Attachment III

Summary of Manual Changes

#### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### SUMMARY OF MANUAL CHANGES

т	_	_	_	_
Γ	и	g	C	Э

IHRC-1 Revised to reflect the change to the Rate Adjustment Factor in step

1.c in the Allstate Indemnity Company Homeowners Manual.

IHRC-2 Revised to reflect the change to the Maximum Deductible Credits.

in step 15 in the Allstate Indemnity Company Homeowners

Manual.

IHRC-2 Revised to reflect the change to the Reinsurance Rate Adjustment

Factor in step 19.b in the Allstate Indemnity Company

Homeowners Manual.

## Attachment IV

## Determination of the Underwriting Profit Provision

# DETERMINATION OF THE UNDERWRITING PROFIT PROVISION

ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP

August, 2006

#### Table of Contents

	Pg 2
Section 1: The Fair and Reasonable Return	Pg 2
Standards For Fair Return	. Pg 3
Return on Equity	Pg 4
Cost of Equity Capital	Pg 6
Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital	Pg 10
Full Information Betas	Pg 11
Allstate's Cost of Equity Capital	Pg 13
Allstate's Fair Return	Pg 15
Weighted Average Cost of Capital	1 g 15
Section 2: Converting Cost of Equity Capital to Return on Equity	Pg 16
Section 3: Development the Underwriting Profit Provision From a Given Weighted	Average
	Pg 19
Cost of Capital  Step (1): Weighted Average Cost of Capital	Pg 20
Step (2): Estimated Investment Income on Equity to Total Capital	Pg 20
Dividend Payout Ratio	Pg 21
Return on GAAP Equity	Pg 21
Investment Income on Average Equity Funds	Pg 22
Ratio of Year-end GAAP Equity to Total Capital	Pg 23
Step (3): After-tax Operating Profit to Total Capital	Pg 23
•	Pg 23
Step (4): Ratio of Premium to Total Capital	Pg 24
Step (5): Total After-tax Operating Profit to Premium	Pg 24
Step (6) Investment Gain From Policy Cash Flow	Pg 25
Step (7): After-tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)	Pg 25
Step (8): Tax Rate	Pg 25
Step (9): Pre-tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)	1020

## Section 1: The Fair and Reasonable Return

#### Standards for Fair Returns

The level of return that constitutes a fair return for regulated business is a legal question that the Supreme Court of the United States has ruled on in two landmark cases; Federal Power Commission, et al. v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944) and Bluefield Waterworks & Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, et al., 262 U.S. 679 (1923).

In the Hope Natural Gas case, the court promulgated standards of fair returns that are based on the return to the equity investor. The court said at 603:

"From the investor or company point of view it is important that there be enough revenue not only for operating expenses, but also for the capital costs of the business. These include service on the debt and dividends on the stock ... By that standard the return to the equity owner should be commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises having corresponding risks. That return, moreover, should be sufficient to assure confidence in the financial integrity of the enterprise, so as to maintain its credit and to attract capital."

In the Bluefield Waterworks case, the court promulgated standards of fair returns that are based on the return to the firm. The court said at 692:

"A public utility is entitled to such rates as will permit it to earn a return upon the value of the property which it employs for the convenience of the public equal to that generally being made at the same time and in the same general part of the country on investments in other business undertakings which are attended by corresponding risks and uncertainties, but it has no constitutional right to profits such as are realized or anticipated in highly profitable enterprises or speculative ventures. The return . . should be reasonably sufficient to assure confidence in the financial soundness of the utility, and

should be adequate, under efficient and economical management, to maintain and support its credit, and enable it to raise the money necessary for the proper discharge of its public duties."

Accordingly, in order for a return to be a fair return, it must meet the following standards that have been promulgated by the United States Supreme Court:

- 1. The return to the firm should be sufficient to attract capital.
- 2. The return to the shareholder should be commensurate with returns on alternative investments of comparable risk.
- 3. The return to the firm should be commensurate with returns to other unregulated firms of comparable risk.

#### Return on Equity

The return to the firm is measured by the return on its equity and is equal to net income divided by the book value of the firm's equity. The book value of a firm's equity is calculated in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Publicly held companies are required to report financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Federal regulations mandate that financial statements be prepared in accordance with GAAP so that all who are interested in the financial condition of the firm, equity owners, creditors, customers, and particularly those who are making investment decisions whether to buy or sell the firm's capital stock, have adequate information.

It is essential that in making such decisions the financial condition of the firm can be compared with the financial condition of other companies. For that reason, it is essential that financial statements used for this purpose, i.e. to measure the value of the firm as an ongoing business enterprise, be stated on a comparable accounting basis. Similarly for such purposes, independent auditors are required to certify that the financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP.

#### Cost of Equity Capital

Whereas the return on equity is an accounting concept, the cost of equity capital is a market-based concept. A firm's cost of equity capital is the rate of return that investors expect to earn on the market value of the investment.

The cost of equity capital differs from the historic rate of return on equity in two fundamental ways.

- 1. The numerator of a historic rate of return reflects the income that actually materialized in a specific accounting period. The actual, historic income may be significantly different from the income that investors expected and that was the basis for the investment decision.
- 2. The base for calculating a rate of return on equity is the book value of the firm (GAAP), whereas the base for the cost of equity capital is the market value of the firm. The market value of the average firm usually exceeds its book value by significant amounts.

As will be shown later in this paper, the return on equity for a firm is simply a function of the cost of equity capital, the market-to-book ratio, the firm's dividend payout ratio, and the return to the shareholders on income retained by the firm. If all earnings were paid out in dividends, then the ratio of the return on equity to the cost of equity capital would equal the market-to-book ratio. This makes intuitive sense, since the return on equity is a return on the book value, and the cost of equity capital is a return on the market value; if the market value exceeds the book value, then the return on equity must exceed the cost of equity capital. When the dividend payout ratio is less than 100%, the relationship is more complicated, but essentially remains the same. In general, because the market value of the average firm exceeds its book value, a fair rate of return on market value cannot equal a fair rate of return on book value.

It is sometimes claimed that it is inappropriate for a firm to earn a greater return (return on equity) than is earned by the investor (cost of equity capital). However, it must be reiterated that the firm's goal is to provide the appropriate return to the investor; if it cannot do this, then it will have trouble

raising capital. Recall that the first item in the list of standards for a fair return to the firm promulgated by the Supreme Court was that it should be "sufficient to attract capital."

The disconnect between a return on the book value of the firm and a return on the market value of the firm is more obvious in some non-insurance companies. For example, some web-based companies that provide a service rather than a product (eBay for example) have very few physical assets and consequently have a comparatively miniscule book value. A firm such as this might have a market value that is ten times larger than its book value; for it to target the same return on book value that the firm needs to provide on market value would result in a drastic shortage in a return to the investors and the inability to provide the cost of equity capital.

This issue, while less drastic in the insurance industry, is still present and needs to be accounted for. The degree to which the market value exceeds the book value dictates the degree to which the return on equity exceeds the cost of equity capital.

Also, it should be noted that, although the return on equity appears to be larger than the cost of equity capital, the actual dollar value being earned by the investor is greater than that being earned by the firm if the firm's market-to-book ratio exceeds 1.00, due to the extra return to the shareholder on income retained by the company. For example, if a firm with a market-to-book ratio of 1.50 earns \$1,000 and pays 50% of earnings in dividends, then the \$1,000 of income for the firm becomes \$1,250 (see the Appendix for an explanation of this calculation) for the investor. If the firm's book value is \$10,000, this would translate to a return on equity of 10% (=1,000/10,000) and a return to the shareholder of 8.33% (=1,250/15,000). The shareholder's return appears smaller because of the larger denominator in the calculation, but on a dollar-basis, the return is actually larger. Thus, it should not be perceived that the firm is attempting to earn more than is calculated to be the necessary cost of equity capital, but rather it is a consequence of mathematics and differing definitions of returns that results in the seeming disparity.

#### **Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital**

Modern financial theory teaches that investors demand higher returns from risky investments. The higher return is necessary to induce investors to assume the risk. Therefore, for our purposes, it is necessary to estimate the financial risk of property/casualty insurance.

According to traditional capital market theory, the return on any given stock is partly driven by the return on the overall market and partly driven by idiosyncratic factors that are not correlated with the overall market. The relationship or co-variability between a given stock's return and the return on the market is measured by a statistic called "beta". Equilibrium returns, according to theory, are linearly related to risk as measured by beta. Intuitively, beta is a measure of the tendency of the return on a stock to move with the market portfolio and provides an indication of the volatility of a security's return relative to the market as a whole. A security with a beta of one is a security with average market risk. A beta of 1.5 indicates that when the return on the market portfolio exceeds the risk-free return by 10%, then the return on the security tends to exceed the risk-free return by 15%; and when the return on the market is 10% less than the risk-free return, the return on the security tends to be 15% less than the risk-free return. Thus, a beta value that is greater than 1.00 indicates a greater than average risk. A beta of 0.5, on the other hand, indicates that when the return on the market portfolio exceeds the risk-free return by 10%, then the return on the security tends to exceed the risk-free return by 5%; and when the return on the market portfolio is 10% less than the risk-free return, the return on the security tends to be 5% less than the risk-free return. Thus, a beta less than one indicates less than average risk.

The capital asset pricing model (CAPM) has been widely used to estimate the cost of equity capital. CAPM is intuitively appealing and simple in its logic. CAPM holds that the return on a stock should reflect the co-variability of the stock with the market portfolio, because this component of risk cannot be diversified away by investors. According to CAPM the return on a stock should not reflect the idiosyncratic component of the return, which can be diversified away by holding an appropriately structured portfolio. The CAPM cost of equity capital estimate requires only three values: an estimate of the firm's beta, a risk-free rate of return, and the expected return on the total market portfolio. The CAPM cost of capital is then simply determined as the sum of the risk-free rate plus a risk premium equal to the product of the stock's beta

coefficient and the expected return on the market portfolio in excess of the risk-free rate. Expressed mathematically, the CAPM formula is:

$$r = r_f + \beta (r_m - r_f),$$

where  $r_f$  is the risk-free rate of return,  $r_m$  the expected equity-market rate of return, and r the stock's expected rate of return. B measures the riskiness of the stock's return relative to that of the equity market.

Since the late 1980's, researchers have observed that CAPM's ability to explain and predict the average returns of many investment opportunities can be improved by using a multifactor asset pricing model. The most widely recognized multifactor model is the "Fama-French three-factor model." Fama and French have shown that from the 1960's both small stocks and value stocks have returned more than what the traditional CAPM has predicted. In addition to the usual market-risk premium  $(r_m - r_f)$ , they utilize two other variables: size premium  $(\pi_s)$  and value premium  $(\pi_h)$ . The size premium is the excess of the return of a portfolio of small-cap stocks over that of a portfolio of large-cap stocks. The value premium is the excess of the return of a portfolio of high book-value-to-market-value stocks over that of a portfolio of low book-value-to-market-value stocks. Shown in Appendix 1, Exhibit 1 are the long-term averages of the market-risk, small-stock, and value-stock premia from the Fama-French database, which derives from the database of the Center for Research in Security Prices. The Fama-French model regresses a stock's monthly return against monthly returns from the three factors, or in equation form:

$$r - r_f = \alpha + \beta_m (r_m - r_f) + \beta_s \pi_s + \beta_h \pi_h + \varepsilon$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French, 1992, "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns," Journal of Finance 47: 427-465.

Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French, 1993, "Common Risk Factors In the Returns on Stocks and Bonds," Journal of Financial Economics 39: 3-56.

Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French, 1996, "Size and Book-to-Market Factors in Earnings and Returns," Journal of Finance 50: 131-155.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The notation is from a working paper of J. David Cummins and Richard D. Phillips, "Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital for Property-Liability Insurers."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The details of how Fama and French define these portfolios, how they periodically rebalance them, and their historic performance are freely available at <a href="http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french">http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french</a>.

As before,  $r_f$  is the risk-free rate of return for the month observed. But r is now the observed return of the stock for that month. To predict returns we use expected values, but the regression equation explains actual, random observations (hence the error term  $\varepsilon$ ). Similarly,  $r_m$  is the actual return of the equity market. The variables  $\pi_s$  and  $\pi_h$  measure by how much small-cap stocks outperformed large-cap stocks, and by how much high book-to-market stocks outperformed low ones. Negative values indicate underperformance. Though an intercept term  $\alpha$  is estimated, economic theory states that in the long run it should be zero. Hence, in predicting stock returns it is ignored.

Thus, three betas are estimated, which measure the stock's sensitivity to the three factors. Note that the  $\pi$ -variables are not related to the risk-free return  $r_f$ , since they are differences of the returns on one equity portfolio from the returns on another equity portfolio.

The Fama-French model is a multi-factor model that reduces to the CAPM if  $\beta_s$  and  $\beta_h$  are constrained to zero. Therefore, it must explain more stock-return variance than does the CAPM. In a subsequent paper<sup>4</sup>, Fama and French argued that the R-squared of their model is markedly better than that for CAPM, and that  $\beta_s$  and  $\beta_h$  are significantly different from zero, even after controlling for the overall market.<sup>5</sup> Extensive research since 1992 has shown that factors other than the CAPM market systematic risk factor play an important role in explaining the cross-section of expected stock returns. As Fama and French note:

"...the available evidence suggests that the three-factor model...is a parsimonious description of returns and average returns. The model captures much of the variation in the cross-section of average stock returns, and it absorbs most of the anomalies that have plagued the CAPM."

Fama, Eugene F. and Kenneth R. French, 1993, "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds," Journal of Financial Economics 39: 3-56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R-squared is a widely accepted measure of the goodness-of-fit of a regression model. It measures the proportion of the variability in the dependent variable of the model (in this case, the excess return of a stock) that is explained by the model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Fama, Eugene F. and Kenneth R. French, 1996, "Multifactor Explanations of Asset Pricing Anomalies," The Journal of Finance 51: 56.

The Fama-French model has been subject to the most extensive testing and validation of any multiple factor model.

In estimating the beta coefficients of asset pricing models such as the CAPM and Fama-French models, it is often important to utilize a technique known as the sum-beta adjustment (Ibbotson, SBBI Valuation Edition 2004, 109-114). The sum-beta method is used to obtain unbiased estimates of the beta coefficients of the risk factors of asset pricing models, when either the individual stock and/or some of the stocks that comprise the risk factors are infrequently traded. Research shows that there is a downward bias in the estimate of the risk factors for shares that trade infrequently. Although Allstate's stock is frequently traded, we cannot directly compare Allstate's estimated risk factors to those of other companies without first adjusting for the amount of trading in each firm's stock. The adjustment is quite simple – unbiased estimates of the beta coefficients are obtained – in the case of the Fama-French model, by regressing the excess return of the stock on the contemporaneous risk factors and the previous month's factors. In symbols, the sum-beta version of the Fama-French model is:

$$r - r_f = \alpha + \beta_{m0} (r_{m0} - r_{f0}) + \beta_{m1} (r_{m1} - r_{f1}) + \beta_{s0} \pi_{s0} + \beta_{s1} \pi_{s1} + \beta_{h0} \pi_{h0} + \beta_{h1} \pi_{h1} + \epsilon$$

In this version there are six beta terms, and their subscripts are augmented with 0 and 1. The stock's excess return is thereby related to the market, size, and value returns of the current period (period 0), as well as to those of the previous period (period 1). Otherwise, all the variables are defined as they were in the three-factor Fama-French model previously discussed.

After estimating the long-term relationships between the stock's excess return and the factors, the unbiased beta coefficient for each factor is obtained by adding the current and lagged beta — hence the term "sum-beta." With unbiased estimates of the beta coefficients, the cost of equity capital is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Dimson, Elroy, 1979, "Risk Measurement When Shares are Subject to Infrequent Trading," Journal of Financial Economics 7: 197-226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In applying the sum-beta method, it is important for reasons of consistency to apply the model to stocks that trade frequently as well as to infrequently traded stocks. In the former case, the sum-beta adjustment does not significantly affect the cost of capital estimates.

then determined by multiplying the long-term average risk premium for each factor by the appropriate sum-beta and then summing across the three factors.

#### Full-Information Betas

Until now Allstate has estimated its beta by comparison with, and adjustment of, the betas of other property/casualty insurers. As Ibbotson remarks (SBBI Valuation Edition 2004, 115f):

"Unfortunately, this type of analysis includes only the "pure play" companies in the calculation of beta. Many of the largest companies in the United States are conglomerates, making it difficult or impossible to include these companies in the industry average. ... One solution to the conglomerate problem is the full information approach developed by Kaplan and Peterson. The full information approach seeks to include in the calculation of the industry beta data from all companies participating in a given industry. The full information approach is a cross-sectional regression that solves for betas for a variety of industries based on the exposure a given company has to that industry."

Allstate follows the lead of Cummins and Phillips in their application of the full-information adjustment to the Fama-French model. From the CRSP data, betas are estimated for rolling sixty-month periods for the thousands of companies in the CRSP database. For more than five thousand of these companies, the S&P/Compustat database provides sales figures by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) segment. This allows us to define 26 high-level, homogenous business segments, one of which is property/casualty insurance. Each firm can then be treated as a unique mixture of these business segments. In other words, we can decompose the Fama-French betas of the companies in the sample into Fama-French betas of idealized business segments, in particular, those of the property/casualty segment. The details of this procedure are given in the earlier cited working paper of Cummins and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> J. David Cummins and Richard D. Phillips, "Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital for Property-Liability Insurers."

Phillips, but in brief, we estimate the industry-segment betas of the following seemingly-unrelated-regression (SUR)<sup>10</sup> model:

$$\beta_{mi} = \sum_{j} \beta_{mj} \omega_{ij} + \epsilon_{mi}$$

$$\beta_{si} = \sum_{j} \beta_{sj} \omega_{ij} + \gamma_{s} \ln(MV_{i}) + \epsilon_{si}$$

$$\beta_{hi} = \sum_{j} \beta_{hj} \omega_{ij} + \gamma_{h} \ln(BV_{i}/MV_{i}) + \epsilon_{hi}$$

Subscript i indexes the actual companies, subscript j the industry segments. The independent variable  $\omega_{ij}$  is the participation of the  $i^{th}$  firm in the  $j^{th}$  segment, and summing it over all j values with i constant equals one. For example, Allstate's exposure is about 18% in the life-insurance segment and 82% in the property/casualty segment. From the firm Fama-French betas (the betas with the i subscript), the model estimates the industry-segment betas (the full-information betas, those with the j subscript). The gamma terms level the size (s) and value (h) attributes of companies in order to make their industry-group betas independent of size and value. The SUR feature estimates and incorporates the covariance between the triad of error terms. Allstate decomposed sum-betas and weighted the error terms of the regression according to the market value of the companies, as did Cummins and Phillips.

#### Allstate's Cost of Equity Capital

Investors expect higher returns from equity investments because equity investments are riskier than risk-free investments, such as Treasury Bills. This additional return over and above a risk-free return is commonly referred to as a risk premium.

The attached Appendix 1, Exhibit I presents the three risk premia necessary to apply the Fama-French model. The three risk premia are long-term averages beginning with July 1926 data and ending in June of the year shown in the exhibit. Data before July 1926 are not readily available. The CRSP data go back only that far, and Ibbotson Associates takes it as the starting point for all its series.

Seemingly unrelated regression is an advanced modeling technique discussed in most econometric textbooks. For a standard treatment see Judge, George G., R.C. Hill, W.E. Griffiths, H. Lütkepohl, and T.-C. Lee, *Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Econometrics*, Second Edition, New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1988, chapter 11.

The market-risk premium reflects the degree to which the return on a broad base of stocks has exceeded the risk-free return. Since this risk premium compensates investors for systematic portfolio risk, it is based on a weighted portfolio of all the stocks (currently more than 7,000) in the CRSP database, a portfolio that encompasses the New York and American stock exchanges, the NASDAQ, and the over-the-counter market.

The small-stock premium reflects the degree to which the returns for small companies have exceeded the returns for large companies and adjusts the estimated cost of equity capital for the risk factor associated with firm size.

The value-stock premium reflects the degree to which the returns for companies whose book values are large relative to their market values have exceeded the returns for companies whose book values are correspondingly small. It adjusts the estimated cost of equity capital for the risk factor associated with a firm's ratio of book value to market value. Fama and French form, and quarterly rebalance, the small and large portfolios of CRSP stocks according to the median size. For every month since July 1926, they calculate the difference of the return of the large-stock portfolio from that of the small-stock portfolio. The process is similar for the value-stock premium, except that they use only the upper thirty percent and lower thirty percent of stocks, ranked by their book-to-market ratios.

Appendix 1, Exhibit II presents the property/casualty insurance industry betas and coefficients necessary to apply the Fama-French model. As previously described, these values are based on CRSP data for thousands of firms, subdivided into twenty-six business segments.

Appendix 1, Exhibit III summarizes the same elements of Allstate's reported financial statements. But only the two "Log" columns will carry forward into the cost-of-capital calculation. These "Log" values will multiply with the model-estimated gammas, so that the size and value components of the cost of capital will be tailored to Allstate within the property/casualty insurance segment.

Appendix 1, Exhibit IV summarizes the Fama-French model estimates of the market-risk, size-risk, and value-risk betas. Calculations are shown for rolling, five-year periods ending June 1999 through June 2005. Note that nothing unique to Allstate flows into the market-risk beta, but the size-risk and value-risk components are specific to Allstate.

Allstate's methodology utilizes an averaging of the betas in an attempt to increase stability, as the beta values can fluctuate from year to year. A 3-year average is currently used, which also lends a degree of responsiveness to the beta value. However, both the 3- and 5-year averages will be monitored and considered prospectively in order to prevent large fluctuations from year to year.

The return on 28-day Treasury Bills is used to represent the risk-free return. This value, obtained from the Federal Reserve, is the annualized return. Since such Bills mature at the end of the period, they are as free from market-price fluctuation as they are from default.

The cost of equity capital is a determinant of the underwriting profit provision used by Allstate for ratemaking purposes.

#### Allstate's Fair Return

As previously discussed, there are important differences between a firm's cost of equity capital and a fair return on equity. One of those differences arises because the cost of equity capital is in relation to the firm's market value and a return on equity is in relation to the firm's book value (GAAP).

The calculation of the fair rate of return to the firm (the return on equity) requires, in addition to the investors' cost of equity capital, the firm's dividend payout ratio, its expected ratio of market value to book value, and the return to investors on income retained by the company.

The dividend payout ratio is the proportion of net income that is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends and stock repurchases. Dividends paid and stock repurchases made by the company in a given year are based on the previous year's net income. Therefore, the dividend payout ratio is the ratio of the sum of dividends and stock repurchases to the previous year's net income. Appendix 1,

Exhibit V displays Allstate's dividend payout ratio for each year since 1997, which is based on the net income from 1996, the first year Allstate was fully independent of Sears. The average dividend payout ratio including stock repurchases over this period is 0.67.

Property/casualty insurers also have market values that exceed book values. For example, the median market-to-book ratio for the Fire, Marine and Casualty Insurance Industry in Ibbotsen's 2006 Cost of Capital Yearbook was 1.49..

Appendix 1, Exhibit VI presents historical market-to-book ratios for Allstate Corporation.. In addition, the inception-to-date and 10-year moving averages have been calculated. Market-to-book ratios can fluctuate dramatically from year to year, so Allstate selected the 10-year average of 1.68 as an estimate of the expected market-to-book ratio.

Appendix 1, Exhibit VII displays the calculation of Allstate's fair rate of return. The resulting fair rate of return on equity is 17.27%.

Allstate believes that it needs to earn a rate of return on GAAP equity of 17.27%, at this point in time. We believe that this rate of return is implied by theory, supported by data, and is reasonable in light of the returns that other comparable firms earn. Further, this rate of return is consistent with the standards of fair returns that have been enunciated by the U. S. Supreme Court.

#### Weighted Average Cost of Capital

Insurance operations are not entirely financed by equity capital; debt is also used as a vehicle to raise funds. Therefore the cost of both equity and debt must be incorporated into the methodology. Once the appropriate cost of equity capital is determined and converted to a book-value basis, it is combined with the cost of debt, and the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) is determined.

Appendix 1, Exhibit VIII displays the calculation of the Weighted Average Cost of Capital. In this calculation, the cost of equity capital is converted to a return on the book value of equity, which is then combined with the cost of debt.

## Section 2: Converting Cost of Equity Capital to Return on Equity

Investors purchase shares of a firm and expect to receive a return on their investment that is commensurate with the risk involved. This return is paid to the shareholders in two ways: dividends issued and change in share value. When the firm earns income during a year, there are two things that the firm can do with that income: issue dividends to shareholders or reinvest the income in the business for the purposes of growth. Thus, it is the reinvestment of income in the business that must provide for the change in share value.

The return demanded by the shareholder is a return on share price and thus is based on the market value of the firm. However, the equity available to the firm is the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) valuation of the equity, or the "book value" of the equity. The market value generally exceeds the book value of the firm due to several intangible valuables such as brand image, personnel expertise, and the growth opportunities of the firm. Therefore, it is necessary to calculate the return on the book value of equity that the firm must earn in order to provide the necessary return on market value for the shareholder.

Let E<sub>i</sub> equal the net expected income to the firm in year i,

Ii equal the net expected income to the shareholders in year i,

Z equal the ratio of the growth in market value to the income retained,

d equal the dividend payout ratio,

 $r_{\rm i}$  equal the return on the book value of equity in year i,

 $k_{i}$  equal the return on the market value of equity (a.k.a. cost of equity capital) in year i,

 $BV_i$  equal the book value of equity at the end of year i,

 $MV_i$  equal the market value of equity at the end of year i,

and  $m_i$  equal the ratio of market value to book value at the end of year i.

The return on equity is equal to the income received by the firm in a given year divided by the beginning-of-year GAAP book value of equity:

 $r_i = \frac{E_i}{BV_{i-1}}$ 

or:

$$E_i = r_i * BV_{i-1} \tag{1}$$

The cost of equity capital is equal to the income received by the shareholders in a given year divided by the market value of the equity, or:

 $k_i = \frac{I_i}{MV_{i-1}}$ 

The income received by the shareholders in a given year is equal to the dividends received plus some return (the Z factor will be discussed below) on the income retained by the firm, or

$$I_i = d(E_i) + (1 - d)E_i * Z$$

Using these two formulas, we can derive the following equation:

$$E_{i} = \frac{MV_{i-1} * k_{i}}{Z + d(1 - Z)} \tag{2}$$

By setting equations (1) and (2) equal to each other, we get:

$$r_i * BV_{i-1} = \frac{MV_{i-1} * k_i}{Z + d(1 - Z)}$$

After much rearranging and substituting m for MV/BV we get:

$$r_i = \frac{m_{i-1} * k_i}{d + (1 - d)Z} \tag{3}$$

With this equation we can calculate the needed return on the book value of equity to produce the appropriate return to shareholders on the market value of equity. Equation (3) shows that the return on equity is a function of the cost of equity capital, the dividend payout ratio, the ratio of market value to book value, and the return to shareholders on income retained by the firm.

It is difficult to determine what the appropriate factor for "Z" should be, since the change in share value due to retained earnings by the firm is a function of anticipated growth opportunities by investors. All state believes that the most appropriate estimate of this ratio is the expected future ratio of market value to book value, since this ratio already takes into account the present value of anticipated growth opportunities. Given this assumption, equation (3) becomes:

$$r = \frac{m * k}{d + (1 - d) * m} \tag{4}$$

This is the equation that Allstate uses to determine the appropriate return on equity for a given cost of equity capital. Notably, equation (4) can likewise be derived by application of the Dividend Discount Model (discussed in many Finance textbooks), given appropriate assumptions.

## Section 3: Development of the Underwriting Profit Provision From a Given Weighted Average Cost of Capital

Underwriting profit is defined in Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30 as 'Premiums less losses, loss adjustment expenses, underwriting expenses, and policyholder dividends."11 Thus, a provision for underwriting profit is a portion of the actuarially developed rate, and is often expressed as a percentage of the rate. 12 The underwriting profit provision is an estimate of future profits; because actual losses and expenses can differ from those expected, the actual realized underwriting profit may not equal the target profit provision.

In the past, development of the underwriting profit provision for insurance companies was a task that involved no underlying theory, but rather constituted the simple task of selecting a round number. From 1921 until the 1960's, a 5% underwriting profit provision was used for most lines. 13 This approach, however, was not based on financial theory and neglected investment income and income taxes. As pricing techniques have become more sophisticated in the incorporation of financial theory, the development of the underwriting profit provision has become more complicated and increasingly important. Allstate's method of determining the appropriate underwriting profit provision, which is described in detail in this paper, involves determining the total profit needed to meet the demand of investors and subtracting out the profit received from investment income to arrive at the underwriting profit needed from insurance operations and, ultimately, from the premium collected.

Section 1: The Fair and Reasonable Return describes the step-by-step process by which the investor's cost of capital was calculated and converted to Allstate Corporation's needed Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC). In order to obtain the needed WACC, Allstate must include an appropriate underwriting profit provision in its ratemaking methodology. The development of the appropriate underwriting profit provision is shown below.

Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30; page 2
 Ibid; page 2

<sup>13</sup> The notable exception is Workers Compensation, which used a 2.5% profit load (Robbin, 1992)

Appendix 2, Exhibit 1 displays the flow of calculations from a given WACC to the underwriting profit provision; below is a detailed discussion of each step in the process of calculating an underwriting profit provision based on a given WACC. Please see the exhibits attached in Appendix 2 for supporting data used in the calculation of the underwriting profit provision, as catalogued in Appendix 2, Exhibit 1.

#### Detail Supporting the Underwriting Profit Calculations

Step (1): Weighted Average Cost of Capital

The targeted Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) for the Allstate Corporation is based on the calculated cost of equity to the investor and the cost of debt. The cost of equity is first converted into a return on the book value of equity (ROE), and the ROE is then combined with the cost of debt to get the WACC.

Based on a calculated cost of equity of 12.59%, the required return on equity for the Allstate Corporation is 17.27%. Allstate's after-tax cost of debt is 3.90%. Based on these numbers, Allstate's WACC is 14.49%. Refer to Section 1: *The Fair and Reasonable Return* above for the explanation and calculations underlying these results.

Step (2): Estimated Investment Income on Equity to Total Capital

The equity of an insurance company, while designated for other specific purposes, earns investment income while it is held by the company. The percentage used in the calculations represents the anticipated net investment income and anticipated capital gains, both realized and unrealized, as a ratio to year-end GAAP equity. Henceforth, unless otherwise noted, the term "equity" will refer to the equity of the Allstate Corporation as a whole. Funds raised through the issuing of debt are assumed to have been used for insurance operations and growth opportunities and are not considered available for investment purposes.

Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 1 outlines the procedure that was used to calculate the estimated investment income as a ratio to total capital. Investment income returns are generally calculated on an average-equity basis, thus requiring an adjustment to be relative to year-end equity. This procedure assumes that the difference between the starting equity and the ending equity is the

return on equity, less dividends. The average of the starting equity and the ending equity is then determined, and a ratio of the average equity to the ending equity is calculated and applied to the average equity investment income return. Because the WACC calculations are relative to total capital (equity and debt), the investment income on GAAP equity must be converted to a percentage relative to total capital. This number, found in line (10), is the estimated investment income as a percent of total capital.

This procedure requires four important numbers: the dividend payout ratio, the return on GAAP equity, the investment income on average equity funds, and the ratio of year-end GAAP equity to total capital. The sources of these four inputs are described below.

Dividend Payout Ratio

Appendix 1, Exhibit 5 details the derivation of the dividend payout ratio. In this calculation, stock repurchases are considered with dividends in the total payout. The result of a stock repurchase is to increase the value of each remaining share. Since the market value is unchanged, and the number of shares outstanding has decreased, the value per share increases. Thus, similar to a dividend, the shareholder receives income, despite the fact that total market value and the present value of growth opportunities for the company remain unchanged. The dividend payout ratio is obtained by summing the Total Payout, column (5), and the GAAP Net Income, column (2), and calculating the ratio of these two sums. Because the amount of dividends paid and stock repurchases made in a given year are based on the income earned in the previous year, the GAAP Net Income is lagged by one year in determining the dividend payout ratio. Data from 1996 to 2005 is used to calculate the average, as that is the data available since Allstate became a publicly traded firm in 1995.

Return on GAAP Equity

As mentioned in Step (1) above, the Return on GAAP Equity is calculated to obtain the appropriate cost of equity for the investor. The details of this procedure were outlined above in Section 1: The Fair and Reasonable Return.

Investment Income on Average Equity Funds Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 2 is a summary of the various sources of investment income that, when totaled, equal the estimated investment income rate of return on average equity funds.

Percentages are broken out by source between investment income and capital gains; realized and unrealized capital gains are combined.

The WACC calculated above in Section 1: *The Fair and Reasonable Return* is a return to investors in Allstate Corporation, and the calculation is performed using data from the insurance industry and from Allstate Corporation as a whole. Therefore, income from all components of Allstate Corporation is considered in the determination of the target underwriting profit provision; Property-liability operations, corporate investments, and Allstate Financial are included. Consideration of income from all components of Allstate Corporation recognizes the fact that Allstate Insurance Company need not provide all required income for the corporation. The target underwriting profit provision is thus offset by income produced by other sources.

Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 3 details the calculation of the estimated investment income rate of return on average equity funds for each source of income. Property-liability operations and corporate investments are listed separately. The percentages shown are calculated by taking the ratio of the investment income to the average total asset base. The asset base includes both equity and policyholder supplied funds. It is difficult to calculate separate returns for equity and policyholder supplied funds, therefore the investment income percent for each is assumed to be equal to the investment income percent for the asset base as a whole.

Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 4 shows the inclusion of Allstate Financial income. A 3-year weighted average of the ratio of after-tax Allstate Financial income to the average total asset base is used. Allstate Financial income is divided by equity plus policyholder supplied funds to get a percentage that is on the same basis as other sources of income and can be combined with them.

Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 6 details the calculation of the year-end and average total asset base. The calculated asset base is the sum of the equity with bonds at market value, unearned premium reserves, and loss reserves, less premium installments receivable and deferred policy acquisition costs. Premium installments receivable are booked premiums that have not yet been received by the company; deferred policy acquisition costs are an asset that are allowed for accounting and

tax purposes under the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) to be carried forward in order to spread the up-front costs of business acquisition over the tenure of the business being acquired. In both cases, the asset is not something that can be invested by the company and thus is not included in the asset base.

Ratio of GAAP Equity to Total Capital
Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 5 shows the breakdown of total capital between equity and debt.
The ratio of equity to total capital is used to convert investment income on equity to a percentage relative to total capital.

Step (3): After-tax Operating Profit to Total Capital

Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30 defines Operating Profit as "The sum of underwriting profit, miscellaneous (non-investment) income from insurance operations, and investment income from insurance operations." Consequently, the amount of income required from insurance operations is reduced by the estimated investment income from equity.

Step (4): Ratio of Premium to Total Capital

The WACC, as discussed in Section 1: The Fair and Reasonable Return, is a weighted average of the cost of equity and the cost of debt, and is thus the needed return on total capital.

Therefore, in order to remain mathematically consistent, the ratio of premium to total capital must be used to convert the needed return on total capital to a return on premium. This ratio should not be confused with the commonly quoted premium-to-equity ratio (or "leverage ratio") that often serves as a measure of the relative amount of risk to which capital is exposed. Even a highly-leveraged, riskier company with small amounts of equity can have a ratio of premium to total capital near 1.00.

Also, as mentioned earlier, total capital of Allstate Corporation is used, since the target WACC is that of Allstate Corporation, which was calculated using information from the industry and from Allstate Corporation as a whole. Investors do not invest in select portions of the corporation (such as property or auto lines of business, or Allstate Financial), but rather in the entire entity, and the stock price reflects the present value of growth opportunities of all sources of income.

<sup>14</sup> Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30; page 2

Thus, to keep the calculations consistent and appropriate, income and capital for the entire corporation are taken into account.

Appendix 2, Exhibit 3 shows the calculation of the ratio of premium to total capital. The last five years have been shown for the sake of comparison; barring an unforeseen large change in capital, the most recent year's ratio is the best estimate of the expected future ratio.

Step (5): Total After-tax Operating Profit to Premium

The after-tax operating profit in Step (3) is expressed as a percentage of total capital; for ratemaking purposes, the operating profit is converted into a percent of premium. Since the operating profit needed is expressed as a percent of total capital, but is built into the rates as a percent of premium, the percent needs to be adjusted by the ratio of premium to total capital.

For example, if a company had \$1,000 of capital and required a 10% return on capital, or \$100, that it needed from insurance operations, and the company had \$2,000 of premium, then a 5% charge on premium (\$100/\$2000) would be sufficient. Thus, a 10% return on capital would require a 10%/(\$2,000/\$1,000) = 5% charge on premium.

Step (6): Investment Gain from Policy Cash Flow

Premiums are collected, expenses are incurred, and losses are paid in different time frames. Generally the differences in cash flow timing work favorably for the insurance company: premiums are collected over a short period of time, while expenses and, more notably, losses are paid out over a longer period of time. This difference in cash inflow and outflow allows the insurer to earn investment income on the premium supplied by the policyholder. Because of this additional income, the amount of income needed from insurance operations through underwriting profit can be reduced.

Allstate uses a discounted cash flow (DCF) method to calculate the impact of cash flow timing differences. 15 This method uses the investment income rate on average equity, discussed previously in Step (2) and shown in Appendix 2, Exhibit 2, Page 2, to calculate a discounted present value of premiums received, and losses and expenses paid. Note that premiums,

<sup>15</sup> DCF is one of the two examples given in Actuarial Standards of Practice, No. 30 as appropriate methods for recognizing investment income from insurance operations (page 4).

expenses, and losses are all discounted to the average time that the profit is being earned, which is the average time that the policies for a given year are in force. For example, for a line of business with 6-month policy terms, a given policy year will have policies in effect from time = 0 to time = 1.5, since policies written on the last day of the year are in effect for 6 months after the end of the year. Thus the average earned time is at time = .75. Similarly, for a line of business with a 12-month policy term, the average earned time would be at time = 1.00.

#### Step (7): After-tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)

As mentioned in Step 6 above, the amount of underwriting income required from insurance operations can be reduced for the investment gains resulting from the timing of policy cash flows. Thus, the investment gains from policy cash flows are subtracted from the total after-tax operating profit to get the after-tax underwriting profit provision.

#### Step (8): Tax Rate

The standard federal income tax rate for corporations is 35%.

#### Step (9): Pre-tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)

In order to receive the appropriate after-tax underwriting income, a pre-tax underwriting profit provision must be targeted. To calculate this, the after-tax underwriting profit provision is divided by one minus the income tax rate. This is the underwriting profit provision used in the development of the rate level indication.

## Appendix 1

The Fair and Reasonable Return

## FAMA-FRENCH RISK PREMIA

Monthly Avg	Market-Risk	Small-Stock	Value-Stock Premium*
until June	Premium*	Premium*	
1997	8.16%	2.67%	4.74%
1998	8.33%	2.51%	4.80%
1999	8.43%	2.32%	4.51%
2000	8.41%	2.59%	4.11%
	8.02%	2.63%	4.69%
2001	<del>-</del>	2.77%	4.91%
2002	7.67%		4.74%
2003	7.60%	2.79%	
2004	7.75%	2.93%	4.79%
2005	7.74%	2.90%	4.91%

All time series commence from July 1926.

Source: http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french

<sup>\*</sup>The risk premia have been annualized.

## PROPERTY/CASUALTY INDUSTRY SEGMENT

#### Betas

60 Months ending	•		Value-Stock Beta	Market-Value Coefficient	Book-to-Market Coefficient
June	Market-Risk Beta	Small-Stock Beta		-0.216	0.322
1998	1.084	1.903	0.693	-0.185	0.271
1999	1.166	1.761	1.011		0.454
	1.294	1.402	1.149	-0.158	0.316
2000	1.194	1.752	1.328	-0.181	
2001	•	1.496	1.126	-0.166	0.252
2002	0.938	1.388	0.846	-0.156	0.135
2003	0.772		0.675	-0.145	0.211
2004	0.764	1.157	0.597	-0.172	0.257
2005	0.597	1.557	0.577		

#### ALLSTATE CORPORATION

NAJOS Code 524126

#### Allstate Compustat Data

		(\$ Mil	llion)		- 10	Log Market	Log
Report	Market	Book	Total	Prop/Cas Sales	Prop/Cas Portion	Value	Book-to-Market
Date	Valus	Value	Sales	Dates			
				<b>5</b> 21.137	84.84%	10.5574	-0.9231
Dec-97	\$38,462.50	\$15,280	\$24,914	=	84.65%	10.3606	-0.6224
Dec-98	31,588.79	16,953	25,795	21,836	83.15%	9.8720	-0.2027
Dec-99	19,379.84	15,824	24.188	20,112	81.64%	10.3690	-0.6275
Dec-00	31,857.39	17, <b>00</b> 9	26,791	21,871	81.03%	10.0870	-0.3748
Dec-01	24,029.08	16,519	27,395	22,197	81.17%	10.1659	-0.4344
Dec-02	26,002.34	16,841	28,780	23,361	81.90%	10.3178	-0.3768
Dec-02	30,267.75	20,766	30.129	24.677	83.80%	10,4770	-0.4927
Dec-04	35,490.89	21,684	33.837	28,354	83.80%	10	

Source: Standard & Poor's/Compustat

#### ALLSTATE CORPORATION

#### Betas

Market	Risk	Component:
VIZIRE	Trion	COMPOSITION

(2)
Prop/Cas
Market Beta
1.166
1.294
1.194
0.938
0.772
0.764
0.597
0.711
0.853
0.711

Size Risk Component:

(3)	(4) Prop/Cas	(5) Market Value	(6) Log Market	(7)=(4) + (5)*(6) Size Risk Beta
Period	Size Beta	Coefficient	Value	-0.156
1999	1.761	-0.185	10.3606 9.87 <b>2</b> 0	-0.158
2000	1.402	-0.158	10.3690	-0.125
2001	1.752	-0.181	10.0870	-0.178
2002	1.496	-0.166	10.1659	-0.198
2003	1.388	-0.156 -0.145	10.3178	-0.339
2004 2005	1.157 · 1.557	-0.172	10.4770	-0.245
			3-yr Avg	-0.261
			5-yr Avg	-0.217
			Selected	-0.261

Value	Dick	Component:
vame	LISE	CONTRACTOR

Value Risk Com (8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)=(9)+(10)*(11) Value Risk
	Prop/Cas Value Beta	Book-to-Mkt Coefficient	Log Book- to-Market	Beta
Period		0.271	-0.6224	0.842
1999	1.011	0.454	-0.2027	1.057
2000	1.149	0.316	-0.6275	1.130
2001	1.328	0.252	-0.3748	1.032
2002	1.126	0.135	-0.4344	0.787
2003	0.846	0.211	-0.3768	0.596
2004	0.675	0.211	-0.4927	0.470
2005	0.597	0.237 .	0.152	
			3-yr Avg	0.618
			5-yr Avg	0.803

	0.710
Selected	0.618
Schecken	

Note: Each time period is a 60-month period ending June in the year shown.

## ALLSTATE CORPORATION

## Estimated Cost of Equity Capital

#### Cost of Equity Capital:

	Value
	7.74%
(1) Long-term Average Market Risk Premium:	0.711
(2) Selected Beta:	5.50%
(3) P/C Industry Market Risk Premium:	
	2.90%
(4) Long-term Size Risk Premium:	-0.261
(5) 5-year Average Size Coefficient:	-0.76%
(6) Allstate Size Risk Premium:	
D. J. Duraninani	4.91%
(7) Long-term Value Risk Premium:	0.618
(8) 5-year Average Value Beta:	3.03%
(9) Allstate Value Risk Premium:	
and B. Com	7.77%
(10) Total Risk Premium:	
The state of the s	4.82%
(11) Risk-free Return:	12.500/
an Contact Equity Capital:	12.59%
(12) Cost of Equity Capital:	

<sup>\*</sup>The risk-free return is the 28-day Treasury bill rate (secondary market), according to the Bureau of Public Debt, as of July 7, 2006 http://wwws.publicdebt.treas.gov/AI/OFBills

#### Source

App. 1, Exh. 1 App. 1, Exh. 4, Pg. 1 =(1) \* (2)

App. 1, Exh. 1 App. 1, Exh. 4, Pg. 1 =(4) \* (5)

App. 1, Exh. 1 App. 1, Exh. 4, Pg. 1 =(7) \* (8)

=(3)+(6)+(9)

BPD\*

=(10) + (11)

## ALLSTATE CORPORATION

### Dividend Payout Ratio

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Stock	$(5) = (3) \div (4)$	(6) = (5)/(2) Total
	Prior Year GAAP Net		Repurchases	Total	Payout
	Income*	Dividends	(Net)	Payout	Ratio
Year	\$2,075	417	1,277	1,694	0.82
1997	3,105	450	1,400	1,850	0.60
1998	•	482	864	1,346	0.41
1999	3,294	506	1,385	1,891	0.70
2000	2,720	547	612	1,159	0.52
2001	2,211	594	383	977	0.84
2002	1,158	648	-48	600	0.53
2003	1,134	779	1,111	1,890	0.70
2004	2,705		2,203	3,049	0.96
2005	3,181	846	2,200	•	
Total	21,583	5,269	9,187	14,456	0.67

Source: Allstate proxy statements: Consolidated Statements of Operations and Shareholders Equity

<sup>\*</sup>Dividends and Stock Repurchases for a given year are determined based on the previous year's income. Therefore, GAAP Net Income is lagged by one year so that the appropriate ratio is calculated.

#### ALLSTATE CORPORATION

Historical Market-to-book Ratios

Years	Allstate
Dec-05	1.70
Dec-04	1.62
Dec-03	1.47
Dec-02	1.49
Dec-01	1.40
Dec-00	1.82
Dec-99	1.14
Dec-98	1.83
Dec-97	2.46
Dec-96	1.90
Dec-95	1.45
Dec-94	1.27
Dec-93	1.29
Inception-to-date:	1.60
10-ут Avg:	1.68
Selected:	1.68

Source: MSN Online Reports

http://moneycentral.msn.com/investor/invsub/results/compare.asp?Page=PriceRatios&Symbol=ALL

#### ALLSTATE CORPORATION

#### Fair Return to the Firm

The relationship between the cost of equity capital and the fair return to the firm is as follows:

$$r_i = \frac{m_{i-1} * k_i}{d + (1 - d) * m_{i-1}}$$

where,

	Amount	Source
	12.59%	App. 1, Exh. 4, Pg. 2
k = Cost of equity capital =	0.67	App. 1, Exh. 5
d = Dividend payout ratio =	1.68	App. 1, Exh. 6
m = Selected market to book ratio =	17.27%	Calculated
r = Fair return to firm,		

#### ALLSTATE CORPORATION

#### Weighted Average Cost of Capital

		318.0
(1)	Pre-tax Cost of Debt (millions)*	35%
(2)	Tax Rate	206.7
(3)	After-tax Cost of Debt (millions) (=(1)*(1-(2)))	5,300
	Book Value of Debt (millions)**	3.90%
(5)	Cost of Debt $(=(3)/(4))$	
-		20,186
(6)	Book Value of Equity (millions)***	17.27%
(7)	Return on Equity (from App. 1, Exh. 7)	
		25,486
(8)	Total Capital $(=(4)+(6))$	14.49%
(9)	Weighted Average Cost of Capital (= $[(4)*(5)+(6)*(7)]/(8)$ )	

Source: Allstate Corporation 2005 Annual Report

<sup>\*</sup>Page 151: Notes on Debt Outstanding

<sup>\*\*</sup>Page 149: Total Debt Outstanding

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Page 1: "Total Shareholders' Equity"

### Appendix 2

Development of the Underwriting Profit Provision From a Given Weighted Average Cost of Capital

#### Arkansas Homeowners

#### Development of the Underwriting Profit Provision from a Given Weighted Average Cost of Capital

	Value	Source
(1) Weighted Average Cost of Capital	14.49%	App. 1, Exh. 8
(2) Estimated Investment Income on Equity to Total Capital**	3.68%	App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 1
<ul> <li>(3) After-tax Operating Profit to Total Capital**</li> <li>(4) Ratio of Premium to Total Capital**</li> <li>(5) After-tax Operating Profit to Premium</li> <li>(6) Investment Gain from Policy Cash Flow</li> </ul>	10.81% 1.06 10.20% 2.29%	=(1) - (2) App. 2, Exh. 3 =(3)/(4) App. 2, Exh. 4
(7) After-tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)	7.91% 35%	=(5) - (6) FIT*
(8) Tax Rate (9) Pro tax Underwriting Profit Provision (at Present Value)	12.17%	=(7)/[1-(8)]

<sup>\*</sup>This is the standard federal income tax rate for corporations

<sup>\*\*</sup>Total Capital = Equity + Debt

#### Calculation of Investment Income on Equity to Total Capital

	Amount	Source
•	67%	App. 1, Exh. 5
(1) Dividend Payout Ratio		
	17.27%	App. 1, Exh. 7
(2) Return on GAAP Equity		
(3) Beginning of Year Equity to Beginning of Year Equity	100%	Given
(3) Beginning of Year Equity to Beginning of Year = 1		/4\#/ <b>7</b> \
(4) Portion of Return on GAAP Equity Paid Out in Dividends	11.57%	<b>=</b> (1)*(2)
(4) Follon of Rollin on C. 2.14		=(3) + [(2)-(4)]
(5) End of Year Equity to Beginning of Year Equity	105.7%	=(5) 1 [(2)-(1)]
(5) 242 57 7	102.9%	$=[(3)\div(5)]/2$
(6) Avg Equity	102.970	[(-, (,)
	4.52%	App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 2
(7) Investment Income on Average Equity Funds		/C\*(7\
(8) Investment Income on Average Equity Funds to Beginning of Year Equity	4.65%	=(6)*(7)
	79.20%	App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 5
(9) Ratio GAAP equity to total capital		(0)*(0)
(10) Investment income on equity as a percent of total capital	<u>3.68%</u>	=(8)*(9)
TO THE COMPANY		

<sup>\*</sup>The Fair and Reasonable Return paper

#### Projected After-tax Net Rate of Return to Average Asset Base

Source	After Tax Rate of Return	Source
Net Capital Gains P/L Operations Corporate	<b>0.43%</b> 0.43% 0.00%	App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 3 App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 3
Net Investment Income  P/L Operations  Corporate  Income from Alistate Financial	4.09% 3.13% 0.21% 0.75%	App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 3 App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 3 App. 2, Exh. 2, Pg. 4
<u>Total</u>	4.52%	

# Investment Income Projections

# (\$ In Thousands)

Percent Return On Asset Base (3)=(1)*(2)	3.13%	0.43%	3.56%	0.21%	0.00%	0.20%
2005 Average Asset Base (2)			45,405			45,405
Annualized Investment Plan Return on Average Invested Assets*	1,420,684	194,639	1,615,324	93,458	(919)	92,781
	Property-Liability Operations After-tax investment income	net of investment expense After-tax capital gains,	realized & unrealized Total	Corporate Investments After-tax investment income	net of investment expense After-tax capital gains,	realized & unrealized Total

\*From Investment Department, 2006 forecast

#### After-tax Allstate Financial Income to Average Asset Base

(\$ In Millions)

(1)	(2)	(3) After-tax	(4)
<u>Year</u>	Average Asset Base*	Allstate Financial <u>Income**</u>	(3) / (2)
2003 2004 2005	\$39,569.4 \$43,195.2 \$45,404.7	\$305 \$246 \$416	0.77% 0.57% 0.92%
Total	\$128,169.2	\$967	0.75%

<sup>\*</sup> Asset Base = Average Equity (Market Basis) plus Loss and Unearned Premium Reserves minus Premium Installment Receivables minus Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Allstate Corporation 2005 Annual Statement

Total Capital

(\$ In Millions)

		2005	% of Total Capital
		\$20,186	79.20%
• /	GAAP Equity*	\$5,300	20.80%
(2)	Debt**	\$25,486	100.00%
(3)	Total Capital [(1)+(2)]	22,101	

Source: Allstate Corporation 2005 Annual Report

\*Page 72: "Total Shareholders' Equity"

\*\*Page 137: Debt Outstanding

Page 6

Asset Base 1991-2005

(\$ In Millions)

(8) [prior year(7) +(7)]/2	Average Asset Base	with Bonds at	Market Value	1	\$24,640.7	\$26,919.7	\$28,801.6	\$30,688.6	\$33,229.6	\$34,429.0	\$35,962.0	\$36,777.4	\$37,033.9	\$36,818.6	\$37,019.5	\$39,569.4	\$43,195.2	\$45,404.7	
(7) (2)+(3)+(4)- (5)-(6)	Accel Bace	with Bonds at	Market Value	\$24 668 9	\$274.612.6	\$20,025	\$78.376.3	\$33,000 8	413.458.3	£35,400,8	\$36,574.3	6.1.20,050	437 037 2	\$36,600.1	437 438 9	\$41,600.8	\$44 690.5	\$46,118.9	÷
(9)	Deferred P-1:	Fourty	Costs	8 3974	0.00.0	9497.3	6548 S	6040.5 6004.4	\$3004.4	\$770.3	404J.9	\$915.0 \$ 551.18	\$1,132.3 \$1,100.3	41,100.2	#1,133.U	\$1,100.1 e1,224.5	\$1,323.3	61.481.6	D.1.40.7.4
(5)	•	Premium	Installments	Kecelvanie	%I./6I./	\$1,846.1	\$1,948.5	\$2,298.0	\$2,892.2	\$2,671.0	\$2,938.3	\$3,058.1	\$3,858.6	\$3,725.6	\$3,892.1	\$3,993.0	\$4,302.8	\$4,635.0	\$4,678.3
(4)			Loss	Reserves	\$12,426.3	\$13,808.4	\$15,682.9	\$16,933.4	\$17,687.2	\$17,381.9	\$17,403.3	\$16,880.5	\$17,814.0	\$16,858.7	\$16,499.9	\$16,690.4	\$17,713.8	\$19,337.7	\$22,116.6
(3)		Uncarned	Premium	Reserves	\$5,085.8	\$5,326.5	\$5,695.8	\$5.863.4	\$6,130.2	\$6,071.9	\$6,168.6	\$6,377.5	\$7,606.9	\$7,553.1	\$7,931.4	\$8,483.2	\$9,048.9	\$9,624.3	\$9,978.2
(2)	Equity with	Bonds	at Market	Value	\$9,384.3	\$7,821.3	\$10,299.7	\$8,426.0	\$12,680.0	\$13,452.0	\$15,610.0	\$17,240.0	\$16,600.6	\$17,451.3	\$17,195.8	\$17,438.4	\$20,565.4	\$21,823.0	\$20,186.0
(i)				Year	1001	1992	1993	1994	1995	9661	1997	1008	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005

<sup>\*</sup> Bonds entegorized as "Available for sale" are shown at market value

Note: Market value includes an adjustment for federal income laxes.

From the Allstate Corporation and Subsidiary Combining Statement of Financial Position: 12/31/05

#### Ratio of Premium to Total Capital

(\$ In Millions)

Year	Earned <u>Premium</u>	GAAP <u>Equity</u>	<u>Debt</u>	Total <u>Capital*</u>	Premium to Total Capital <u>Ratio</u>
2001 2002 2003 2004 2005	\$22,197 \$23,361 \$24.677 \$25.989 \$27,039	\$17,195.8 \$17,438.4 \$20,565.4 \$21,823.0 \$20,186.0	\$3,921.0 \$4,240.0 \$5,076.0 \$5,334.0 \$5,300.0	\$21,116.8 \$21,678.4 \$25,641.4 \$27,157.0 \$25,486.0	1.05 1.08 0.96 0.96 1.06
	Latest Year	1.06			•

\*Total Capital = GAAP Equity + Debt

Sources: The Allstate Corporation 2005 Annual Report

Latest Year

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY HOMEOWNERS

#### Arkansas - 2005

Calculation of Present Value, as of the Average Earning Date of a Policy year, of all Income and Outgo @ 4.52% force of interest, assuming an Operating Profit of 10.20% and twelve-month Policy Terms

	Arkansas	Arkansas	Time	Discounted *	
Years From	Cumulative	Yearly	from Start	to avg time	
Start of	Percent of	Percent of	of Policy	of profit @	Discounted
Policy Year	Losses Paid	Losses Paid	Year	4.52%	Payments
1	35.1%	35.1%	0.70	1.0137	35.58%
2	94.9%	59.8%	1.50	0.9777	58.47%
3	99.8%	4.9%	2.30	0.9429	4.62%
4	100.0%	0.2%	3.40	0.8972	0.18%
5	99.7%	-0.3%	4.40	0.8575	-0.26%
Subsequent	100.0%	0.3%	6.70	0.7729	0.23%
Total		100.0%			98.82%
Expected Losses ar	nd Loss Expense R	<b>Latio</b>			62.93%
Present Value of L	oss and Loss Expe	ense Payments			62.19%
Taxes		3.10%	0.74	1.0118	3.14%
Commissions		13.50%	0.58	1.0192	13.76%
Other Acquisition		4.40%	0.63	1.0169	4.47%
General Expense		3.90%	0.75	1.0114	3.94%
Profit		12.17%	1.00	1.0000	12.17%
Total Present Value	e of Outgo				99.67%
Premiums		100.0%	0.57	1.0196	101.96%
Difference, Present	Value of Income				
Less Present Value	of Outgo				2.29%

<sup>\*</sup>exp (0.0452 x (timing of profit being earned - timing of cash flow))

#### ARKANSAS INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

#### FORM H-1 HOMEOWNERS ABSTRACT

INSTRUCTIONS: All questions must be answered. If the answer is "none" or "not applicable", so state. If all questions are not answered, the filing will not be accepted for review by the Department. Use a separate abstract for each company if filing for a group. Subsequent homeowners rate/rule submissions that do not alter the information contained herein need not include this form.

Company Name	Allstate Indemnity Company
NAIC # (including group #)	008-19240

- 1. If you have had an insurance to value campaign during the experience filing period, describe the campaign and estimate its impact.

  Not applicable
- If you use a cost estimator (or some similar method) in order to make sure that dwellings (or contents) are insured at their value, state when this program was started in Arkansas and estimate its impact.

Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company will be using RCT, a Marshall & Swift Boeckh Product. RCT is not used to make sure that dwellings are insured at their value. Rather, Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company uses the RCT tool to develop an estimate of the minimum amount for which Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company will insure a property.

- If you require a minimum relationship between the amount of insurance to be written and the replacement value of the dwelling (contents) in order to purchase insurance, describe the procedures that are used.
  - At the point of sale, it is required that the cost estimator be completed. The new business processing application will require the agency to select a Coverage A limit equal to or greater than the RCT generated estimate.
- 4. If you use an Inflation Guard form or similar type of coverage, describe the coverage(s) and estimate the impact.

Allstate's policy contains the Property Insurance Adjustment language. It allows for an adjustment to a policy's Coverage A limit at renewal when there has been a change in the estimated cost to replace a customer's home.

5. Specify the percentage given for credit or discounts for the following:

a. Fire Extinguisher	3	%
b. Burglar Alarm	0	%
c. Smoke Alarm	3	%
d. Insured who has both homeowners and auto with your company	20	%
e. Deadbolt Locks	3	%
f. Window or Door Locks	0	%
g. Other (specify)		%
Complete Central Burglar Alarm	3	%
Complete Central Fire Alarm	4	%

- 6. Are there any areas in the State of Arkansas In which your company will not write homeowners insurance? If so, state the areas and explain reason for not writing.
- 7. Specify the form(s) utilized in writing homeowners insurance. Indicate the Arkansas premium volume for each form.

Form Homeowners Premium Volume \$14,821,485

8.	Do you write homeowner risks which have aluminium, steel or vinyl Siding?											
9.	Is there a surcharge on risks with wood											
, ,	heat?	No										
	If yes, state the surcharge	Not applicable										
	Does the surcharge apply to conventional fire											
	places?	Not applicable										
	If yes, state the surcharge	Not applicable										
THE I	NFORMATION PROVIDED IS CORRECT 1	TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.										

Signature
Carrie M. Deppe
Printed Name
Assistant State Filings Manager
Title
847-402-2774 Ext. 22774
Telephone Number
cdepp@allstate.com
Email address

AID PC H-1 (1/06) INS01787

#### **Property & Casualty Transmittal Document**

1.	Reserved for Insurance I Use Only	Dept.		e filing is	partment Uses received:	e only							
		ĺ	c. Disposi	ition:									
					tion of the fili	ng:							
			e. Effectiv										
				New Bus	siness								
				Renewa	l Business								
			f. State F										
		1		F Filing #:									
			h. Subject	t Codes									
3.	Group Nama								Group NAIC #				
ა.	Group Name Allstate								008				
4					Damiaila	NAIC #	FEINI #	1					
4.	Company Name(s)				Domicile	NAIC #	FEIN#		State #				
İ	Allstate Indemnity Company				IL	19240	36-611	5679					
5.	Company Tracking Number	er	R1785	58									
Conta	ct Info of Filer(s) or Corpora	te Officer	(s) [includ	de toll-fre	ee number]								
6.	Name and address	Tit	tle	Telep	ohone #s	FAX	#		e-mail				
		Assista	nt State										
	Carrie M. Deppe		/lanager	800-3	366-2958	847-402	-9757	cder	epp@allstate.com				
	2775 Sanders Road, Suite	3-			22774				opp@dilotato.oom				
	A5												
	Northbrook IL 60062												
				Car	ni M. L	look							
7.	Signature of authorized file	er		Cach	Jose Trends								
8.	Please print name of auth	orized file	r	Carrie M. Deppe									
Filina	Information (see General Ins	tructions f	or descript	tions of t	hese fields)								
9.	Type of Insurance (TOI)	traduction i	or accomp		omeowners								
10.	Sub-Type of Insurance (Su	b-TOI)			3 Owner Oc	cupied Ho	meowne	rs					
11.	State Specific Product cod	e(s) (if											
	applicable) [See State Specific												
12.	Company Program Title (M	arketing Tit	le)	Homed					D /D . !				
13.	Filing Type			_	e/Loss Cost		lules	<u>.</u>	Rates/Rules				
				For					es/Rules/Forms				
				L VVIII	hdrawal		ther (giv	e descr	ιριιστ <i>ι)</i>				
14.	Effective Date(s) Requeste	d		New:	09/20/2007	7	Rene	wal.	09/20/2007				
15.	Reference Filing?	<u>~</u>		Yes			176116	wai.	00,20,2001				
16.	Reference Organization (if	applicable	:)		plicable								
17.	Reference Organization # 8		,		•								
18.	Company's Date of Filing		İ	INOT ad	plicable								
	Company S Date of Filling				plicable 8, 2007								

PC TD-1 pg 1 of 2 © 2007 National Association of Insurance Commissioners

#### **Property & Casualty Transmittal Document**

20.	This filing transmittal is part of Company Tracking #	R17858

21. Filing Description [This area can be used in lieu of a cover letter or filing memorandum and is free-form text]

Section I - Revision of the Distinct Charge for Net Cost of Reinsurance

Allstate has again purchased countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements to mitigate our exposure to catastrophic losses. One agreement has a one year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008, and the other agreement has a two year term, effective 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2009. The one year term agreement has been 15% placed and the two year term agreement has been 80% placed, leaving Allstate the option of placing an additional 15% in year two. Together the catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreements will apply to Allstate and Encompass brand personal auto and personal property policies nationwide (excluding Florida), providing coverage for the term 6/1/2007 to 5/31/2008 of 95% of the first \$2 billion in excess of \$2 billion of retained losses from storms named or numbered by the National Weather Service, earthquakes, and fire following earthquakes, subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations set forth in these agreements. These agreements replace Allstate's countrywide catastrophe aggregate excess reinsurance agreement that was effective from 6/1/2006 to 5/31/2007.

With this filing, information is provided to support the revision of the distinct charge to cover the fire following an earthquake portion of the net cost of reinsurance in Allstate Indemnity Company for the Owners program in the state of Arkansas. The net cost of reinsurance is equal to the reinsurance premium paid, less expected reinsurance recoveries under the contract. Please refer to Allstate filing R17477 for additional information on the original net cost of reinsurance filing.

Allstate's decreased reinsurance cost will be reflected by revising the reinsurance rate adjustment factor in the rate calculation for the Owners program. The factor is currently 1.000 for Owners. The revised reinsurance rate adjustment factor will apply to the calculation of the reinsurance charge for all policies and will therefore have the same effect as a reinsurance base rate change. The proposed reinsurance rate adjustment factor is 0.957.

The target effective date for renewal business effective is September 20, 2007.

#### Section II - Indication Filing

With this filing Allstate Indemnity Company proposes an overall 17.8% rate increase to the Owners program in Arkansas. The maximum customer impact is less than 19.9%. Inclusion of the change to the reinsurance charge will only lower the customer impacts. Attachment II details the overall Allstate Indemnity Company rate level need.

Allstate will achieve this overall increase by applying a rate adjustment factor. The rate adjustment factor will not vary by territory or any other rating plan. Additionally, Allstate is increasing the Maximum Deductible Credits that are available in the Allstate Indemnity Company in Arkansas. Attachment I details the proposed changes for Allstate Indemnity Company.

Please note that the reinsurance charge is not included in development of the overall rate level indication. The reinsurance charge is separate from our overall rate level to provide the ability to be responsive to changes in the reinsurance agreement.

The target effective date for renewal business effective is September 20, 2007.

22. Filing Fees (Filer must provide check # and fee amount if applicable.)

[If a state requires you to show how you calculated your filing fees, place that calculation below]

**Check #:** Not applicable. Fee will be paid via Electronic Funds Transfer.

**Amount:** \$100.00

Rate filing

PC TD-1 pg 2 of 2

Effective March 1, 2007

Refer to each state's checklist for additional state specific requirements or instructions on calculating fees.

PC TD-1 pg 2 of 2

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Refer to each state's checklist for additional state specific requirements (i.e. # of additional copies required, other state specific forms, etc.)

#### Arkansas Department of Insurance Objections

July 11, 2007

#### Objection #1)

The After-Tax Operating Profit used in the determination of the average indicated reinsurance charge and permissible loss ratio has approximately doubled from that of the previous filing and appears excessive. Explain and provide the pre-tax provision amount.

#### Response #1)

The after-tax operating profit is comparable to the after-tax operating profit originally filed by Allstate in the previous filing. At the time of the previous filing Allstate came to an agreement with the Arkansas Department of Insurance to lower the after-tax operating profit. With this filing, we are including the after-tax operating profit necessary to achieve the appropriate return to Allstate. Please see **Attachment IV** in the document titled Support\_R17858 for the development of the after-tax operating profit as well as the pre-tax provision amount.

#### Objection #2)

Have the LA and MS hurricane catastrophes been excluded for the cat provision?

#### Response #2)

Yes, the LA and MS hurricane catastrophes are excluded for the development of the catastrophe provision.

#### Objection #3)

NAIC Loss Cost Filing Document for Other than Workers' Comp: Policy count and premium information are blank. The PCTD contradicts this. Please revise.

#### Response #4)

The NAIC Loss Cost Data Filing Document has been updated to include the policy count and premium information associated with this proposed change.

#### NAIC LOSS COST DATA ENTRY DOCUMENT

1.	The iming transmitted part of observating in								R17858									
2.						n loss cost filing Item Filing Num												
				Comp	any Name						Compa	any	NAIC Number					
3.	A.		All		mnity Comp	any		B. 19240										
		Product Codir	ng Mati	rix Line of I	Business (i.e.	, Type of Insura	ance)	Product Coding Matrix Line of Insurance (i.e., Sub-type of Insurance)										
4.	A.			O	wners			B.										
							•	u										
5.		(A)								FO	R LOSS COST	s o	NI Y					
				(B)	(C)	(D)			(E)		(F)		(G)		(H)			
		VERAGE		licated	Requested Rate Level	F 4	1		Loss Cost		Selected		Expense		o. Current			
· '	See i	nstructions)		e Level nange	Change	Expecte Loss Ra		IV	Modification Factor		Loss Cost Multiplier		Constant (If Applicable)		oss Cost Aultiplier			
Hor	neow	ners		2.4%	17.8%			T date:					(, ppcas.c)	-				
	TAL ( ECT	OVERALL																
				<u> </u>														
6.		5 Year H	listory	Rat	e Change Hist							7	<u> </u>		_			
	Year	Policy C	Count	% of Change	Effective Date	State Earned Premium (000)	Incurre Losse (000)	es	State Loss Ratio		ountrywide oss Ratio		Expense Consta	nts	Selected Provisions			
	2003		62			8,364	(/	<i>'</i>					. Other Acquisition		4.4%			
	2004	,				13,591							. General Expense		3.9%			
	2005	,				17,865							. Taxes, License & Fe	es	3.1%			
	2006	•		17 00/	9/06/07	17,735 14,821							. Underwriting Profit		13.2%			
	2007 19,741 17.8% 8/06/07				0/00/07	14,021						F	. Commissions		13.5%			
													. TOTAL		38.1%			
8. 9. 10. PC I	RLC	Estimated I	Maximu	um Rate In		(Y or N) y Insured (%). ny Insured (%)							U:Lo	ossCostDi	raft/DataEntry.doc			

### ALLSTATE INDEMNITY COMPANY OWNERS ARKANSAS

#### RESPONSE TO DOI OBJECTION

#### **OBJECTION:**

Our previous position remains unchanged. The provision results in excessive rates and will be disapproved if not reduced. In accordance with Regulation 23, Section 7.A., this filing may not be implemented until 20 days after the requested amendment(s) and/or information is received.

Regarding the distinct charge for the net cost of reinsurance, in order to expedite the approval of filing R17858, Allstate has selected a reinsurance rate adjustment factor of 0.900. This selection is consistent with the reinsurance rate adjustment factor developed using an after-tax underwriting profit of 5% (7.7% pre-tax), which is the profit requested by the Arkansas Department of Insurance last year with regard to Allstate filing R17477. The development of the net cost of reinsurance using a 5% after-tax underwriting profit provision is shown on Exhibit 1.

Regarding the rate level change, the selected rate level change remains 17.8%. This selection is based on the indicated rate level change of 42.4% and was selected to mitigate customer impacts. The selection of 17.8% results in an after-tax underwriting loss of -1.6% (-2.4% pre-tax) to Allstate.

The target effective date for renewal business has been revised to October 18, 2007.

## ALLSTATE INSURANCE GROUP OWNERS ARKANSAS DETERMINATION OF THE AVERAGE REINSURANCE CHARGE

1. Reinsurance Premium	Indicated Average Reinsurance <u>Charge</u> \$344,048	Average Reinsurance Charge Using 5% After-Tax Underwriting Profit Provision \$344,048	Selected
2. Loss Savings Due to Reinsurance	\$31,931	\$31,931	
3. Net Cost of Reinsurance	\$312,117	\$312,117	
4. 2007 Reinsurance Expense Provision per 2006 AIY* Distributions	0.044	0.044	
5. Commissions, Taxes, and Profit Ratio	28.8%	24.3%**	
6. Reinsurance Charge Per AIY (4) / [1 - (5)]	0.062	0.058	
7. Allstate's Expected Recovery of the Net Cost of Reinsurance	\$458,262	\$458,262	
8. Reinsurance Rate Adjustment Factor [(3)/(1-(5))]/(7)	0.957	0.900	0.900

<sup>\* 1</sup> AIY = One Amount of Insurance Year = \$1000 of Coverage in Force for One Year

\*\* Commissions Provision: 13.5% Taxes, Licenses, and Fees: 3.1% Pre-tax Underwriting Profit: 7.7%

#### Created by SERFF on 07-27-2007 08:24 AM

#### **Superseded Attachments**

Please note that all items on the following pages are items, which have been replaced by a newer version. The newest version is located with the appropriate schedule on previous pages. These items are in date order with most recent first.

Original Date:	Schedule	Document Name	Replaced Date	Attach Document
No original date	Rate and Rule	CheckingListR17858	06-28-2007	R17858.PDF
No original date	Rate and Rule	ManualR17858	06-28-2007	R17858.PDF
No original date	Supporting Document	HPCS-Homeowners Premium Comparison Survey	06-28-2007	StateFilingForms 02 - HPCS.PDF

#### **CHECKING LIST FOR HOMEOWNERS**

Printing dates are shown on each page to facilitate identification of different editions, but have no direct connection with the effective date of the page.

#### **RATE PAGE CALCULATION OPTIONS**

Enclosed: Page IHRC-1 and IRHC-2 dated 7-1-2007

Withdrawn: Page IHRC-1 and IHRC-2 dated 8-1-2006

Filing Number: R17858
Allstate Indemnity Company

Rounding:

Unless otherwise noted, all premium calculations shall be rounded to the nearest dollar. A premium of \$0.50 or more shall be rounded to the next whole dollar. The Reinsurance Charge as well as the final premium shall be rounded to the nearest penny. Amounts of \$0.005 or more shall be rounded to the next whole penny.

- 1. Determine the Package Premium:
  - a) Determine the appropriate \$250 deductible premium for the Coverage A limits shown on the Package Premium Pages.
  - b) Multiply the appropriate \$250 deductible premium by a Rate Adjustment Factor of 1.399.
- 2. Claim Rating Factor Multiply by the appropriate factor (Rule 25)
- 3. Claim Free Discount Multiply by .85 (Rule 26)
- 4. Coverage BC Building Codes Multiply by 1.05 (Rule 4.A.1)
- 5. Dwellings in the Course of Construction Multiply by .70 (Rule 4.B.2)
- 6. Age of Home Discount Multiply by the appropriate factor (Rule 23)
- 7. Partially Renovated Home Discount Multiply by the appropriate factor (Rule 27)
- 8. Home Buyer Discounts Multiply by the appropriate factor (Rule 28)
- 9. Personal Property Reimbursement Provision Multiply by 1.15 (Rule 4.A.16)
- 10. Fire Resistive Discount Multiply by .85 (Rule 10)
- 11. Protective Device Discount Multiply by the appropriate factor (Rule 15)
- 12. 55 and Retired Discount Multiply by .90 (Rule 16)
- 13. Home and Auto Discount Multiply by the appropriate factor.90 (Rule 17)
- 14. The Good Hands People® Discount Multiply by .95 (Rule 22)

15. Apply the appropriate deductible factor, subject to any applicable maximum dollar credit.

Deductible	Deductible	Maximum
<u>Option</u>	<u>Relativity</u>	<u>Deductible Credit *</u>
\$ 50	1.44	_
100	1.25	-
250	Base	-
250/500 WIND/HAIL	.94	\$150
250/1000 WIND/HAIL	.92	210
500	.87	375
500/1000 WIND/HAIL	.84	720
750	.80	825
1000	.75	1200
1500	.70	1575
2000	.65	1800
3000	.60	2025
5000	.53	2325

- relative to the \$250 deductible premium
- 16. \$250 Theft Deductible Multiply the \$50 or \$100 Deductible premium by .95
- 17. Add the Fixed Expense Policy Fee shown on the Supplementary Rate Page
- 18. For 3/4 Family Dwelling add amount shown on Supplementary Rate Pages
- 19. Add the appropriate Reinsurance Charge. Determine the charge as follows:
  - a. Determine the appropriate Base Reinsurance Charge from the Reinsurance Charge Pages.
  - b. Multiply the appropriate charge by a Reinsurance Rate Adjustment Factor of 0.957 (round to three decimals).
  - c. Multiply by the appropriate Coverage A Reinsurance Limit Factor as shown in the Reinsurance Charge Pages (penny round).
- 20 Add the additional premium applicable for increased limits or additional coverage and subtract any applicable credit for reduced coverage shown on the Supplementary Rate Pages. Where applicable, use the same deductible amount as Coverage A.

NAIC Number: Company Name:									ners Premi M HPCS - I					Submit to: Arkansas Insurance Department 1200 West Third Street Little Rock. AR 72201-1904						
Contact Person: Telephone No.: Email Address: Effective Date:							USE THE	APPROPR	IATE FOR	M BELOW BLANK	- IF NOT A	PPLICABL	E, LEAVE		Email as an	501-371-280 attachment to	00 insurance.pi	04 <u>nc@arkansas</u> or submit on a		
Survey Form for														ACV on personal property, liab and med pay for others incl)						
Public Protection Class	Dwelling Value	Wash Brick	ington Frame	Ba Brick	xter Frame	Craig Brick	head Frame	St. Fr Brick	ancis Frame	De Brick	sha Frame	Un Brick	ion Frame	Mi Brick	ller Frame	Seba Brick	stian Frame	Pul Brick	aski Frame	
	\$80,000																			
3	\$120,000																			
	\$160,000																			
6	\$80,000																			
Ŭ	\$120,000 \$160,000																			
	\$80,000																			
9	\$120,000																			
	\$160,000																			
Survey Form for	HO4 (Renters	s) - Use \$500	Flat Deduct	ible (Named	perils for per	sonal proper	ty, actual ca	sh value for	loss, liability	and medica	l payments f	or others inc	luded)							
Public Protection Class	Property Value	Wash Brick	ington Frame	Ba Brick	xter Frame	Craig Brick	head Frame	St. Fr	ancis Frame	Arka Brick	nsas Frame	Un Brick	ion Frame	Mi Brick	ller Frame	Seba Brick	stian Frame	Pul Brick	aski Frame	
i rotection olass	\$5,000	Brick	Trame	Brick	Trame	Briok	Trame	Briok	Trame	Brick	Trame	Brick	Tranic	Brick	Trame	Brick	Trame	Brick	Trame	
3	\$15,000																			
	\$25,000																			
	\$5,000																			
6	\$15,000																			
	\$25,000 \$5,000																			
9	\$5,000																			
	\$25,000																			
Survey Form for I	DP-2 (Dwellir	ng/Fire) - Us	e \$500 Flat D	eductible (Na	amed perils f	or dwelling a	nd personal	property; re	placement co	ost for dwelli	ng, actual ca	sh value for	personal pro	perty, no lia	bility covera	ge)				
Public	Dwelling		ington	í	xter	Craig	And the last of th	St. Fr			insas		ion		ller	1	stian		aski	
Protection Class	<b>Value</b> \$80,000	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame N/A	Brick	Frame	<i>Brick</i> N/A	Frame	Brick	Frame N/A	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame N/A	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	
3	\$120,000		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
	\$160,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$80,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	\$120,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$160,000		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	\$80,000		N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	
	\$120,000 \$160,000		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
SPECIFY THE									EARTHQU											
HO3 and HO4		AGL GIVL	N FOR CR	LDITS OK	DISCOUNT	3 FOR III	POLLOV					does NOT a	utomatically	cover losse	s from earth	quakes. Ask	your agent	about this co	verage	
Fire Extinquisher		0		Deadbolt Lo		0						RTHQUAKE (	COVERAGE I	N ARKANSA		yes	(yes or no)			
Burglar Alarm Smoke Alarm		0		Window Loc \$1,000 Ded		0			WHAT IS YO	OUR PERCEI	ITAGE DEDL	ICTIBLE?				5	<u></u>			
				Other (speci										Zone		Brick	1	Frame		
	0 % WHAT IS YOUR PRICE PER \$1,000 OF COVERAGE? Highes											Highest Risk \$ 0.38 \$ 0.32 Lowest Risk \$ 0.35 \$ 0.27								

Control   Cont	NAIC Number: Company Name:	Allstate Insurance	e Company				Homeowners Premium Comparision Survey Form FORM HPCS - last modified August, 2005								Submit to: Arkansas Insurance Department 1200 West Third Street						
Part   Color	Email Address:							USE THE	APPROPR	IATE FOR		- IF NOT A	APPLICABL	E, LEAVE	Telephone: 501-371-2800  Email as an attachment to Insurance pnc Barkansas gov.						
Value   School   S	Survey Form for HO3	(Homeowners)	Use \$500 FI	at Deductibl	e (Risk of dir	ect physical	loss for dwe	lling and oth	er structures	named peri	ls for persor	al property,	RC on dwelli	ng, ACV on	personal pro	perty, liab an	d med pay fo	or others incl			
	3	\$120,000	\$281.00	\$326.00	\$285.00	\$331.00	\$449.00	\$520.00	\$507.00	\$608.00	\$507.00	\$608.00	\$390.00	\$452.00	\$356.00	\$412.00	\$352.00	\$422.00	\$435.00	\$505.00	
9	6	\$120,000	\$222.00 \$308.00	\$260.00 \$362.00	\$224.00 \$311.00	\$264.00 \$366.00	\$353.00 \$491.00	\$415.00 \$577.00	\$438.00 \$608.00	\$492.00 \$684.00	\$438.00 \$608.00	\$492.00 \$684.00	\$306.00 \$425.00	\$360.00 \$500.00	\$279.00 \$389.00	\$328.00 \$457.00	\$304.00 \$422.00	\$341.00 \$475.00	\$336.00 \$476.00	\$395.00 \$559.00	
Projection   Pro	9	\$80,000 \$120,000	\$674.00 \$937.00	\$789.00 \$1,098.00	\$682.00 \$948.00	\$799.00 \$1,111.00	\$1,074.00 \$1,495.00	\$1,258.00 \$1,761.00	\$1,275.00 \$1,789.00	\$1,548.00 \$2,225.00	\$1,275.00 \$1,789.00	\$1,548.00 \$2,225.00	\$933.00 \$1,297.00	\$1,092.00 \$1,519.00	\$851.00 \$1,183.00	\$995.00 \$1,385.00	\$885.00 \$1,231.00	\$1,075.00 \$1,496.00	\$1,024.00 \$1,449.00	\$1,199.00 \$1,701.00	
Properties   Pro														\$2,072.00	\$1,573.00	\$1,866.00	\$1,637.00	\$2,035.00	\$2,009.00	\$2,396.00	
1																					
3																					
## 1750   \$150		\$6,000	\$46.00				\$46.00				\$46.00			\$46.00	\$46.00			\$46.00	\$46.00		
## 1500   \$55.00   \$5	3	\$15,000	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	\$82.00	
6   \$15.00   \$55.00   \$95.00		\$25,000	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	\$113.00	
13000   1500		\$6,000	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	\$53.00	
9	6	\$15,000	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00	
Section   Sect		\$25,000	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	\$132.00	
STOCK   STOC																					
Public   P																					
Public Princeton   Public																	\$156.00	\$156.00	\$156.00	\$156.00	
Value																					
2   \$50,000   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA																					
2	Giazz																				
5 0 000 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	3	\$120,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		\$160,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
\$120000 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		\$80,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	6	\$120,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9		\$160,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
\$70,000   NA	9		N/A	1825	1803	1925	N/A	100				Ten.							N/A		
SPECIFY THE PERCENTAGE GIVEN FOR CREDITS OR DISCOUNTS FOR THE FOLLOWING:  ### APP FOUNDMENT  ### APP FOUNDME																					
Burgar Alam		RCENTAGE		11825	11401	INA	TIE/S	III.		EARTHQU	JAKE INSL	IRANCE									
0 % WHAT IS YOUR PRICE PER \$1,000 OF COVERAGE? Highest Risk \$ 0.38 \$ 0.32	Burglar Alarm			% % %	Window Loc \$1,000 Deck	ks ucrible		% % %									5	(yes or no) %			
							0	%		WHAT IS YO	OUR PRICE P	ER \$1,000 OI	F COVERAGE	7	Highest Risk	s s		s s			

Base Rule
| \$441.00 | \$500.00 | \$477.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474.00 | \$474

NAIC Number:	19240
Company Name:	Allstate Indemnity Company
Contact Person:	Carrie Deppe
Telephone No.:	847-402-2774
Email Address:	cdepp@allstate.com
Effective Date:	8/6/2007

Homeowners Premium Comparision Survey Form FORM HPCS - last modified August, 2005

Submit to: Arkansas Insurance Department 1200 West Third Street Little Rock, AR 72201-1904

Contact Person:	Carrie Deppe					USE THE APPROPRIATE FORM BELOW - IF NOT APPLICABLE, LEAVE									Little Rock, AR 72201-1904 Telephone: 501-371-2800					
Telephone No.: Email Address:	847-402-2774 cdepp@allstate	com				BI ANK								Email as an attachment to insurance.pnc@arkansas.gov						
Effective Date:	8/6/2007	.com														o attach to a				
Survey Form for HO	3 (Homeowners	) - Use \$500	Flat Deducti	ble (Risk of o	direct physic	al loss for d	welling and	other structu	res: named i	nerils for ner	rsonal prope	rty RC on di	velling ACV	on personal	property lia	ib and med n	ay for others	s incl)		
Public Protection	Dwelling	Washi		Bax			ihead		ancis		sha		ion	Miller			stian	Pulaski		
Class	Value	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	
	\$80,000	\$377.74	\$439.10	\$382.55	\$443.91	\$536.54	\$623.15	\$666.46	\$800.00	\$666.46	\$800.00	\$507.67	\$590.67	\$475.19	\$550.97	\$471.58	\$565.41	\$559.40	\$649.62	
3	\$120,000	\$524.51	\$609.92	\$531.73	\$615.94	\$745.86	\$866.16	\$927.51	\$1,112.78	\$927.51	\$1,112.78	\$706.16	\$820.45	\$661.65	\$767.51	\$655.64	\$785.56	\$791.57	\$920.30	
	\$160,000	\$697.74	\$810.82	\$706.16	\$819.24	\$992.48	\$1,152.47	\$1,233.08	\$1,479.69	\$1,233.08	\$1,479.69	\$938.34	\$1,091.12	\$879.39	\$1,020.14	\$870.97	\$1,044.20	\$1,074.28	\$1,247.51	
	\$80,000	\$413.83	\$486.01	\$418.64	\$490.82	\$588.27	\$689.32	\$800.00	\$898.64	\$800.00	\$898.64	\$556.99	\$653.23	\$519.70	\$609.92	\$565.41	\$635.18	\$612.33	\$719.39	
6	\$120,000	\$575.03	\$674.88	\$582.25	\$682.10	\$818.04	\$959.99	\$1,112.78	\$1,251.12	\$1,112.78	\$1,251.12	\$773.53	\$908.27	\$723.00	\$849.32	\$785.56	\$883.00	\$866.16	\$1,018.94	
	\$160,000	\$763.91	\$897.44	\$773.53	\$907.06	\$1,088.72	\$1,276.38	\$1,479.69	\$1,662.55	\$1,479.69	\$1,662.55	\$1,028.57	\$1,207.81	\$962.40	\$1,128.41	\$1,044.20	\$1,175.33	\$1,175.33	\$1,381.04	
	\$80,000	\$1,258.34	\$1,472.47	\$1,272.77	\$1,490.52	\$1,788.86	\$2,106.45	\$2,379.53	\$2,953.37	\$2,379.53	\$2,953.37	\$1,692.62	\$1,982.54	\$1,583.15	\$1,855.03	\$1,648.11	\$2,000.59	\$1,864.65	\$2,209.91	
9	\$120,000	\$1,749.16	\$2,054.72	\$1,770.82	\$2,082.39	\$2,558.78	\$3,048.40	\$3,427.35	\$4,226.14	\$3,427.35	\$4,226.14	\$2,404.80	\$2,867.95	\$2,231.57	\$2,664.65	\$2,335.02	\$2,899.23	\$2,733.22	\$3,251.71	
	\$160,000	\$2,372.32	\$2,830.66	\$2,404.80	\$2,867.95	\$3,501.93	\$4,151.55	\$4,656.81	\$5,719.06	\$4,656.81	\$5,719.06	\$3,297.42	\$3,913.36	\$3,066.45	\$3,642.68	\$3,203.59	\$3,953.06	\$3,814.71	\$4,518.47	
Survey Form for HO	4 (Renters) - Us	e \$500 Fl <u>at E</u>	Deductible (N	lamed pe <u>rils</u>	for personal	property, ac	ctual cash va	lue for lo <u>ss.</u>	liability and	medical pay	ments for ot	hers include	d)							
Public Protection	Property	Washi		Bax			head		ancis		insas		ion	Mil	ller	Seba	stian	Pul	aski	
Class	Value	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	Brick	Frame	
	\$6,000	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$51.00	
3	\$15,000	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	\$92.00	
	\$25,000	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	\$128.00	
	\$6,000	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	\$61.00	
6	\$15,000	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	\$108.00	
	\$25,000	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	
9	\$6,000	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	\$71.00	
9	\$15,000	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	\$127.00	
	\$25,000	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	\$177.00	
Survey Form for DP-		e) - Use \$500	Flat Deduct	ible (Named	perils for dw	elling and pe	ersonal prop	erty; replace	ment cost fo	r dwelling, a	ictual cash v	alue for pers	onal propert	y, no liability	coverage)					
Public Protection Class	Dwelling	Washi Brick	ington Frame	Brick	ter Frame	Craig Brick	head Frame	St. Fr	ancis	Arka Brick	nsas Frame	Un Brick	ion	Mil Brick	101	Seba Brick	stian Frame	Pul: Brick	aski	
Class	Value								Frame	N/A	N/A		Frame		Frame		N/A		Frame N/A	
3	\$80,000		N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A		
	\$120,000 \$160,000		N/A N/A			N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
		N/A				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	\$120,000		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	\$120,000		N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	\$160,000		N/A			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ODEOUEV THE DE																				
SPECIFY THE PE HO3 and HO4 on		GIVEN FOI	RCREDIIS	OR DISCO	NIS FO	R THE FOL	LOWING:			JAKE INSU		does NOT	utomatically	cover losse	s from earth	guakes. Asi	vour agent	about this co	overage	
Fire Extinquisher		0		Deadbolt Loc	:k	0	%						COVERAGE I			yes	yes (yes or no)			
Burglar Alarm		0		Window Lock		0			WHAT IS YO	OUR PERCEI	NTAGE DEDU	ICTIBLE?				5	%			
Smoke Alarm		0		\$1,000 Dedu		0	%							7000		Driel		From		
				Other (specif	y)	0	%		WHAT IS YO	OUR PRICE F	PER \$1,000 C	F COVERAG	E?	Zone Highest Risk	s	Brick 0.38	s	Frame 0.32		
				Maximum Cr	edit Allowed	0				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Lowest Risk	\$	0.35	\$	0.27		
	maximum Gedit Andwed 0 % Lowest Risk \$																			